Minutes of the September 29, 2020 Jakarta EE Steering Committee Meeting

Please refer to your meeting invitation for the zoom password.

Attendees:

Fujitsu: Kenji Kazumura
IBM: Dan Bandera, Kevin Sutter
Oracle: Will Lyons, Ed Bratt, Dmitry Kornilov
Payara: Eliiot Martin
Red Hat: John Clingan, Scott Stark
Tomitribe: David Blevins, Caesar Hernandez
Enterprise Member representative: Not present
Participant member representative: Martijn Verburg
Committer member representative: Arjan Tjims
(Quorum is 5 -- simple-majority or one-half of the members (if even number) must be present)

Eclipse: Shabnam Mayel, Ivar Grimstad, Paul White, Paul Buck, Tanja Obradovic

Review of Minutes from Prior Meetings

Minutes of the September 15 meeting were approved.

Minutes of the September 22 meeting will be reviewed next time.

Agenda for October 6 Meeting - Members Call

Here is the plan and the agenda for the Jakarta EE members call on Oct 6th.

The call is targeted for all current and potential Jakarta EE members. A zoom link has been provided to:

- Jakarta EE Working Group <jakarta.ee-wg@eclipse.org>
- potential customers EF is aware of
- and share it with Steering Committee members to extend it to their customers / contacts that may be interested

Agenda

Welcome Jakarta EE members (Will/David) - 5 mins

- Include “how can we do better” goal of the meeting
- Ensure value-add of membership

Introduction of Jakarta EE WG Committees - 5 mins

- Steering (Will)
- Specification (Paul)
- Marketing (Neil)

Jakarta EE to date (Tanja/Will - 10 mins)

- What is Jakarta EE
  - [https://jakarta.ee/about/](https://jakarta.ee/about/)
- Growing list of corporate members
  - [https://jakarta.ee/membership/members/](https://jakarta.ee/membership/members/)
- Growing list of compatible products
  - [https://jakarta.ee/compatibility/](https://jakarta.ee/compatibility/)
- Jakarta EE 8 release
- Community outreach programs
- JakartaOne Livestream

Jakarta EE 9 (Kevin/Paul) - 5 mins

- Progress and updates to date

Opportunities and Benefits for members (Tanja/Pauls) - 5 mins

- [https://jakarta.ee/membership/#benefits](https://jakarta.ee/membership/#benefits)

Open discussion - how can we do better

**October 13 Community Call**

We agreed to do an open, public community call for 30 mins in the second half of the Steering Committee meeting as part of J4K.

Potential agenda topics (leave time for feedback):

- Define approach for managing the Crowdcast meeting
  - One screen share
- Seed prepared questions to get the discussion going
  - E.g. invite questions ahead of time
- Jakarta EE 9 status (one slide)
  - Snapshot high level discussion
- JakartaOne Livestream (one slide)
Jakarta EE 10 planning activities (one slide)
  ○ Review Guide to Contributing and how to get involved

Other Community Topics

The session will be recorded. Tanja, Shabnam and I will polish the above.

Jakarta EE 9 Spec Project Status

- Spec status summary
  ○ [https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1YTUpfdLZZrk2_UGwoX2w0seOCueRO3sQJljWxpDAa7g/edit#gid=0](https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1YTUpfdLZZrk2_UGwoX2w0seOCueRO3sQJljWxpDAa7g/edit#gid=0)
- Spec project board (new column for links to TCK and GF board)
- TCK issues
  ○ [https://github.com/eclipse-ee4j/jakartaee-tck/issues](https://github.com/eclipse-ee4j/jakartaee-tck/issues)
- Schedule
  ○ [https://eclipse-ee4j.github.io/jakartaee-platform/jakartaee9/JakartaEE9#jakarta-ee-9-schedule](https://eclipse-ee4j.github.io/jakartaee-platform/jakartaee9/JakartaEE9#jakarta-ee-9-schedule)
- Specs open for ballot
  ○ [https://github.com/jakartaee/specifications/labels/ballot](https://github.com/jakartaee/specifications/labels/ballot)
- Sept 22 status
  ○ Spec status
    - [https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1YTUpfdLZZrk2_UGwoX2w0seOCueRO3sQJljWxpDAa7g/edit#gid=0](https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1YTUpfdLZZrk2_UGwoX2w0seOCueRO3sQJljWxpDAa7g/edit#gid=0)
    - 10 specs are done (up from 7 from last week)
    - 2 specs are in ballot (0 moved into ballot from last week)
    - 9 specs are close (1 moved into “close” state from last week)
    - 14 are not close yet (2 specs have newly created PRs from last week)
    - 1 Specs do not have PRs yet - mentors pursuing, KWS bumped epic issues
- Sept 29 status
  ○ The Spec Committee will provide a summary status next week, highlighting any actions and support required by Steering Committee
    - 10 are done
    - 2 are in ballot
    - 13 are close
    - 10 are not close
    - All Specs have PRs - Final Draft PR was created

Eclipse GlassFish 6.0 Status - Steve Millidge/Eliot Martin

- Project board for GlassFish [https://github.com/eclipse-ee4j/glassfish/projects/1](https://github.com/eclipse-ee4j/glassfish/projects/1)
• TCK issues:
  https://github.com/eclipse-ee4j/glassfish/issues?q=is%3Aissue+is%3Aopen+label%3Aee9-tck

Tooling

• David provided a first draft of the plan ready for discussion
  ○ https://docs.google.com/document/d/1sZzQTOAxiGnwQ154V4iteMxQ6nm9U5cBN7PgwP44c/edit#

• Datasheet complete:

• Ownership for tooling vendors being tracked below:
  ○ https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1uYyX43aNIQgZfuSeBjr4LGCEH4sBiHqKGSOWrcEuc/edit?urp=gmail_link#gid=1810653774

• Request help identifying contacts
• Social campaign underway

Marketing Committee Update and Jakarta EE Update Calls

• JakartaOne Livestream 2020 (virtual)
  ○ Scheduled December 8th
  ○ CFP open to Oct 1
  ○ Registration is open
  ○ Jakarta EE 9 Full Release Marketing Plan Sheet
    ● Planning spreadsheet - available here
    ● Technical WP plans - available here

• Decision upcoming for DevNexus sponsorship

• Compatible Products Page (update-requested by the Specification Committee (EF))
  ○ V1 approved
    ■ Link: https://deploy-preview-872--jakartaee.netlify.app/compatibility/
    ■ Logo would be linked to either product page or download page
    ■ Versions link would be to TCK results
    ■ New button - Compatibility Requests - link to Github issues
  ○ Need compatible product vendors to add the compatibility logo on the page for the TCK results associated with the downloadable software version that is compatible.

• Jakarta EE Collateral Repository
  ○ Separate working call for graphics/image guidelines next week
  ○ Need to get repository and permissions set up for contributors and committers to support the workflow
  ○ Looking at promoting the new repository and process when ready
  ○ Moving issues related to Marketing from jakarta.ee and JakartaOne repos to Collateral repo:
    ■ https://github.com/jakartaee/jakartaone.org/issues/created_by/aeiras
    ■ https://github.com/jakartaee/jakarta.ee/issues/created_by/aeiras
  ○

• J4K conference - Oct 13-14
- Virtual Community Booth for members to sign up
- Will have 30 mins public Steering Committee meeting as part of the conference on Oct 13 (same time slot)
- Will have the October Update Call on October 14th from virtual booth

- **2020 JakartaOne Livestream Events** Doc
  - Events
    - Livestream
    - Spanish - in planning
    - China - in planning
    - Turkey - under consideration
    - See vendor signup: https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1jkvN2BxqJHvnknpMbEZj_zmCaMlRjzhXHO7Gn-LLE/edit#gid=0

- Reviewed Jakarta EE wikipedia page
- Why Jakarta EE?
  - Marketing committee - will build a “Why Jakarta EE” messaging/topic page - positioning value proposition in a consumable manner
  - Jakarta EE Roadmap would also be covered as an offshoot of this
    - Need to have a visually appealing view into directions
    - Design from marketing committee while content comes through other committees

- **Jakarta EE Update Calls**
  - October call will be held during J4K.io (in virtual booth)
  - https://docs.google.com/document/d/1U81TZ2F_nhg6WxoE1VnpUUEQ09r8SXWpaN3hf3wiTWQ/edit

Translation Help
- See mail from Tanja - Translating jakarta.ee into Chinese
- Thanks to members for review - still work to be done

**Jakarta EE Roadmap/Release Cadence - Ivar Grimstad**
- Background
  - Slide deck
  - We have feedback from the [Jakarta EE Ambassadors on content](#)
  - We have community voices doc David collected in the past
  - Current [technical direction on jakarta.ee](#)
  - Ivar is asking for higher level “release” direction vs. “technical” direction on individual specs:
    - Release cadence
    - LTS model
    - Number of profiles
    - Ability for component specs to release independently
    - There is a history in this community of leaders establishing release direction - need an overall narrative
Ivar agreed to guide us through a structured discussions on this topic over the next several weeks

- **Update from Sept 22**
  - There is now a standing EE 10 agenda item on the Tuesday Platform Team calls following the EE9 discussion
  - The Platform project will provide architectural leadership for EE 10
  - Will discuss splitting up the TCK on an ongoing basis on Friday meetings

- **Update from Sept 29**
  - Ivar presented his list of topics:
    - [https://docs.google.com/presentation/d/1xGovHrc10kV7YJla2P1QfKDiH3k4SRV4S-MZ0XWZLc/edit#slide=id.p](https://docs.google.com/presentation/d/1xGovHrc10kV7YJla2P1QfKDiH3k4SRV4S-MZ0XWZLc/edit#slide=id.p)
    - Release Cadence
    - Java SE Versions
    - Decouple Specifications
    - MicroProfile Alignment
    - Deprecation Model
    - Preview Features
  - It was suggested Ivar propose a one slide "strawman" summary for a subset of the topic areas that may be simpler to propose solutions for, and review these with the Platform team. Release cadence, Java SE version support, decoupling specifications, and deprecation model were mentioned as examples, but Ivar should use his own judgment.
  - The Platform team will not meet the week of Oct 5, so review will not occur until the week of Oct 12.

**CN4J Alliance, Jakarta EE and MicroProfile Collaboration and Funding Proposal**

- **Notes from last time**
  - The following scenario has been discussed:
    - Jakarta EE WG Strategic members joining the Microprofile Working Group
    - The creation of a Cloud Native for Java (CN4J) Alliance between the WGs
    - Potential “re-allocation” of Jakarta EE WG strategic member fees in CY2021 to the MP WG by approximately $25K
  - From the Eclipse Foundation perspective, if all Strategic members joined the MP WG and agreed to a reallocation, then this is something the EF could work towards or consider
    - This would require a change to the Jakarta EE WG charter document and fees
  - Oracle has indicated joining the MP WG depends on resolution of the ballot review period which has proceeded in the MicroProfile community. The status of this is as follows:
This has been discussed in the MP community email alias
This will be discussed in today’s MP WG hangout
Oracle would be OK moving forward, pending direction to closure on ballot period
  ○ The other members present were OK with the direction being discussed (no other members present in the meeting expressed concerns)
    ■ Will agreed to contact Fujitsu and Payara specifically to obtain their feedback on this
  ○ There is consensus that the broader community, included non-strategic members, must also be consulted on this topic when we have a proposal
  ○ In our next Jakarta EE WG meeting, we should review the proposal of record of this topic (originally drafted by Scott Stark)
    ■ The Eclipse Foundation can be ready to discuss this based on Steering Committee next week if requested
  ○ Proceeding with the proposal would also be dependent on clarifying the structure of the CN4J Alliance
    ■ We will also review the status of the CN4J Alliance next week

Update based on developments from last week
  ○ Discussed only briefly
  ○ The MicroProfile Working Group will be formed under the current charter
    ■ Expectation is that the MPWG will consider modification of ballot review period soon after
    ■ As previously stated, Oracle’s participation depends on resolution of the ballot review period. Oracle is hopeful, but believes detailed discussion of modifications to the Jakarta EE WG charter (see above) should be deferred for now.

Jakarta EE 2020 Operational Plan (not discussed)
  ○ Review Q3 actual vs. plan:
    ■ [2020 Jakarta EE Program Plan - by quarter](#)