Minutes of the September 22, 2020 Jakarta EE Steering Committee Meeting

Please refer to your meeting invitation for the zoom password.

Attendees:

Fujitsu: Not present
IBM: Dan Bandera, Kevin Sutter, Neil Patterson
Oracle: Will Lyons, Ed Bratt, Dmitry Kornilov
Payara: Not present
Red Hat: Mark Little
Tomitribe: David Blevins, Jonathan Gallimore
Enterprise Member representative: Not present
Participant member representative: Martijn Verburg
Committer member representative: Arjan Tjims
(Quorum is 5 -- simple-majority or one-half of the members (if even number) must be present)

Eclipse: Shabnam Mayel, Ivar Grimstad, Paul White, Paul Buck

Review of Minutes from Prior Meetings

Minutes of the September 8 meeting were approved.

Minutes of the September 15 meeting will be reviewed next time.

Jakarta EE 9 Spec Project Status

- Spec status summary
  - [https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1YTUpfdLZZrk2_UGwoX2w0seOCueRO3sQJjWxpDAa7g/edit#gid=0](https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1YTUpfdLZZrk2_UGwoX2w0seOCueRO3sQJjWxpDAa7g/edit#gid=0)
- Spec project board (new column for links to TCK and GF board)
- TCK issues
  - [https://github.com/eclipse-ee4j/jakartaeetck/issues](https://github.com/eclipse-ee4j/jakartaeetck/issues)
- Schedule
  - [https://eclipse-ee4j.github.io/jakartaeeproject/jakartae9/JakartaEE9#jakarta-ee-9-schedule](https://eclipse-ee4j.github.io/jakartaeeproject/jakartae9/JakartaEE9#jakarta-ee-9-schedule)
- Specs open for ballot
  - [https://github.com/jakartaeespecifications/specifications/labels/ballot](https://github.com/jakartaeespecifications/specifications/labels/ballot)
- Sept 15 status
  - Livestream on Dec 8
- We will not change this date
- Marketing and press activity would target this date
  - GA target for Nov 20
    - All Specs in ballot NLT Nov 6
    - Earlier completion is acceptable
- Spec status
  - [https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1YTUpfdLZZrk2_UGwoX2w0seO)CueRO3sQJljWxpDAa7g/edit#gid=0](https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1YTUpfdLZZrk2_UGwoX2w0seO)CueRO3sQJljWxpDAa7g/edit#gid=0
    - 7 specs are done (up from 3 last week)
    - 5 specs are in ballot (2 moved into ballot last week)
    - 8 specs are close (2 moved into “close” state last week)
    - 15 are not close yet
    - 3 Specs do not have PRs yet - mentors are pursuing

- Sept 22 status
  - Spec status
    - [https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1YTUpfdLZZrk2_UGwoX2w0seO)CueRO3sQJljWxpDAa7g/edit#gid=0](https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1YTUpfdLZZrk2_UGwoX2w0seO)CueRO3sQJljWxpDAa7g/edit#gid=0
    - 10 specs are done (up from 7 from last week)
    - 2 specs are in ballot (0 moved into ballot from last week)
    - 9 specs are close (1 moved into “close” state from last week)
    - 16 are not close yet (2 specs have newly created PRs from last week)
    - 1 Specs do not have PRs yet - mentors pursuing, KWS bumped epic issues
  - The Spec Committee will provide a summary status next week, highlighting any actions and support required by Steering Committee

**Eclipse GlassFish 6.0 Status - Steve Millidge/Eliot Martin (not discussed)**

- Project board for GlassFish [https://github.com/eclipse-ee4j/glassfish/projects/1](https://github.com/eclipse-ee4j/glassfish/projects/1)
- TCK issues: [https://github.com/eclipse-ee4j/glassfish/issues?q=is%3Aissue+is%3Aopen+label%3Aee9-tck](https://github.com/eclipse-ee4j/glassfish/issues?q=is%3Aissue+is%3Aopen+label%3Aee9-tck)
- Sept 15 Update
  - Failures went up, though we know why (JPA, EJB, Mojarra)
  - Working through the issues
- No update in meeting

**Tooling**

- David provided a first draft of the plan ready for discussion
  - [https://docs.google.com/document/d/1sZzQTOAxiGnwQ154V4iteMxQ6nm9l5cRNE7PgW44c/edit#](https://docs.google.com/document/d/1sZzQTOAxiGnwQ154V4iteMxQ6nm9l5cRNE7PgW44c/edit#)
- Datasheet complete:
Ownership for tooling vendors being tracked below:
- [https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1uYyX43aNIQgZfjuSeBjr4LGCEH4sBilHgKGSOWrceuc/edit?urp=gmail_link#gid=1810653774](https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1uYyX43aNIQgZfjuSeBjr4LGCEH4sBilHgKGSOWrceuc/edit?urp=gmail_link#gid=1810653774)
- Request help identifying contacts
- No update

**Marketing Committee Update and Jakarta EE Update Calls**

- **JakartaOne Livestream 2020** (virtual)
  - Scheduled December 8th
  - CFP open to Oct 1
  - Jakarta EE 9 Full Release Marketing Plan Sheet
    - Planning spreadsheet - available here
    - Technical WP plans - available here
- **Compatible Products Page** (update-requested by the Specification Committee (EF))
  - V1 approved
    - Link: [https://deploy-preview-872--jakartaee.netlify.app/compatibility/](https://deploy-preview-872--jakartaee.netlify.app/compatibility/)
    - Logo would be linked to either product page or download page
    - Versions link would be to TCK results
    - New button - Compatibility Requests - link to Github issues
  - Need compatible product vendors to add the compatibility logo on the page for the TCK results associated with the downloadable software version that is compatible.
- **Jakarta EE Collateral Repository**
  - Separate working call for graphics/image guidelines next week
  - Need to get repository and permissions set up for contributors and committers to support the workflow
  - Looking at promoting the new repository and process when ready
  - Moving issues related to Marketing from jakarta.ee and JakartaOne repos to Collateral repo:
    - [https://github.com/jakartaee/jakartaone.org/issues/created_by/aeiras](https://github.com/jakartaee/jakartaone.org/issues/created_by/aeiras)
    - [https://github.com/jakartaee/jakarta.ee/issues/created_by/aeiras](https://github.com/jakartaee/jakarta.ee/issues/created_by/aeiras)
  - Virtual Community Booth for members to sign up
  - Will have 30 mins public Steering Committee meeting as part of the conference on Oct 13 (same time slot)
  - Will have the October Update Call on October 14th from virtual booth
- **J4K conference - Oct 13-14**
  - Virtual Community Booth for members to sign up
  - Will have 30 mins public Steering Committee meeting as part of the conference on Oct 13 (same time slot)
  - Will have the October Update Call on October 14th from virtual booth
- **2020 JakartaOne Livestream Events** [Doc](#)
  - Events
    - Livestream
    - Spanish - in planning
    - China - in planning
    - Turkey - under consideration
See vendor signup:
https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1jkvN2BxqJHvnknMbpEZj_zmCoxMiRjzhXHO7Gn-LLE/edit#gid=0

- Why Jakarta EE?
  - Marketing committee - will build a “Why Jakarta EE” messaging/topic page - positioning value proposition in a consumable manner
  - Jakarta EE Roadmap would also be covered as an offshoot of this
    - Need to have a visually appealing view into directions
    - Design from marketing committee while content comes through other committees

- Jakarta EE Update Calls
  - October call will be held during J4K.io (in virtual booth)
  - https://docs.google.com/document/d/1U81TZ2F_nhg6WxoE1VnpUUEQ09r8SXWpaN3hf3wiTWQ/edit

Translation Help
- See mail from Tanja - Translating jakarta.ee into Chinese

Jakarta EE Advocate feedback on JetBrains webinar - Ivar Grimstad
- Background
  - Slide deck
  - We have feedback from the Jakarta EE Ambassadors on content
  - We have community voices doc David collected in the past
  - Current technical direction on jakarta.ee
  - Ivar is asking for higher level “release” direction vs. “technical” direction on individual specs:
    - Release cadence
    - LTS model
    - Number of profiles
    - Ability for component specs to release independently
    - There is a history in this community of leaders establishing release direction - need an overall narrative
  - Dan suggested we could indicate items/themes we would consider for future releases
  - We need to align this cadence with what could be absorbed by vendors and their customers
  - It was noted that for past EE 6 releases we conducted surveys
  - Such surveys could include requests for feedback on release cadence, etc
  - Ivar agreed to guide us through a structured discussions on this topic over the next several weeks - Ivar will come back next week

- Update
  - There is now a standing EE 10 agenda item on the Tuesday Platform Team calls following the EE9 discussion
  - The Platform project will provide architectural leadership for EE 10
Will discuss splitting up the TCK on an ongoing basis on Friday meetings
Will look for another update from Ivar next week

Reply to Ambassadors
- See email from Will
- The group provided feedback that will be incorporated to mail being sent out today

CN4J Alliance, Jakarta EE and MicroProfile Collaboration and Funding Proposal
- The following scenario has been discussed:
  - Jakarta EE WG Strategic members joining the Microprofile Working Group
  - The creation of a Cloud Native for Java (CN4J) Alliance between the WGs
  - Potential “reallocation” of Jakarta EE WG strategic member fees in CY2021 to the MP WG by approximately $25K
- From the Eclipse Foundation perspective, if all Strategic members joined the MP WG and agreed to a reallocation, then this is something the EF could work towards or consider
  - This would require a change to the Jakarta EE WG charter document and fees
- Oracle has indicated joining the MP WG depends on resolution of the ballot review period which has proceeded in the MicroProfile community. The status of this is as follows:
  - This has been discussed in the MP community email alias
  - This will be discussed in today’s MP WG hangout
  - Oracle would be OK moving forward, pending direction to closure on ballot period
- The other members present were OK with the direction being discussed (no other members present in the meeting expressed concerns)
  - Will agreed to contact Fujitsu and Payara specifically to obtain their feedback on this
- There is consensus that the broader community, included non-strategic members, must also be consulted on this topic when we have a proposal
- In our next Jakarta EE WG meeting, we should review the proposal of record of this topic (originally drafted by Scott Stark)
  - The Eclipse Foundation can be ready to discuss this based on Steering Committee next week if requested
- Proceeding with the proposal would also be dependent on clarifying the structure of the CN4J Alliance
  - We will also review the status of the CN4J Alliance next week

Jakarta EE 2020 Operational Plan (not discussed)
- Review Q3 actual vs. plan:
  - [2020 Jakarta EE Program Plan - by quarter](#)