Minutes of November 10, 2020 Jakarta EE Steering Committee Meeting

Please refer to your meeting invitation for the zoom password.

Attendees:

Fujitsu: Kenji Kazumura
IBM: Dan Bandera, Neil Patterson, Kevin Sutter
Oracle: Will Lyons, Ed Bratt, Dmitry Kornilov
Payara: Steve Millidge
Red Hat: John Clingan, Mark Little, Scott Stark
Tomitribe: David Blevins
Enterprise Member representative: Not present
Participant member representative: Not present
Committer member representative: Arjan Tjims
(Quorum is 5 -- simple-majority or one-half of the members (if even number) must be present)

Eclipse: Ivar Grimstad, Paul White, Tanja Obradovic, Karen McNaughton, Mike Milinkovich

Review of Minutes from Prior Meetings

Minutes of the November 3 meeting will be reviewed next time.

Jakarta EE 9 Spec Project Status

- Spec status summary
  - https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1YTUpfdLZZrk2_UGwoX2w0seOCueRO3sQJljWxpDAa7g/edit#gid=0
- Spec project board (new column for links to TCK and GF board)
  - https://github.com/orgs/eclipse-ee4j/projects/17
- TCK issues
  - https://github.com/eclipse-ee4j/jakartaeetck/issues
- Schedule
  - https://eclipse-ee4j.github.io/jakartaee-platform/jakartae9/JakartaEE9#jakarta-ee-9-schedule
- Specs open for ballot
  - https://github.com/jakartaeet/specifications/labels/ballot
- Nov 3 Update
  - Solid TCK and compatible implementation
  - Looks good for spec going to ballot on Nov 6 - Enterprise Beans and Interceptors need to be in ballot by Nov 5
On target for Nov 20

- Nov 10 Update
  - Everything is in ballot!!!
  - Hoping for ballots to close - please vote early to enable fixing open issues

**Eclipse GlassFish 6.0 Status - Steve Millidge/Eliot Martin**

- Project board for GlassFish [https://github.com/eclipse-ee4j/glassfish/projects/1](https://github.com/eclipse-ee4j/glassfish/projects/1)
- TCK issues: [https://github.com/eclipse-ee4j/glassfish/issues?q=is%3Aissue+is%3Aopen+label%3Aee9-tck](https://github.com/eclipse-ee4j/glassfish/issues?q=is%3Aissue+is%3Aopen+label%3Aee9-tck)

- Nov 3 status
  - Some implementation components not in final release yet
  - Some external components remain to be released
  - Not a blocker to Jakarta EE 9 release

- Nov 10 status
  - Will do EE 9 release against RC2 which i already available
  - Hope to have final release in Maven Central, etc by Livestream

**Question on Vendor Case Studies**

- There was an email thread on this to which Neil replied
- Given this is an existing plan that is being executed on, I recommend focusing this meeting on CY21 planning issues. If, after review of Neil’s reply, there is need for further Steering Committee discussion, I recommend deferring to next week.
- It was requested that we have brief review/presentation on this topic next week

**2021 Program Plan**

- **References**
  - See pdf of [2021 Working Group Program Plan Process](#)
  - Original Draft Proposal 2021 Jakarta EE Program Plan in this folder:
    - [https://drive.google.com/drive/u/0/folders/1_TobVjm4SF69rrSrQ8LbPw9CXhmMtha](https://drive.google.com/drive/u/0/folders/1_TobVjm4SF69rrSrQ8LbPw9CXhmMtha)
  - Revised plan for review in Nov 3 meeting:
    - [https://docs.google.com/presentation/d/18KRbGwdCwVImm19z2myo9QDAQnzpbaid9K_CSHaCYDs/edit#slide=id.g9591dbaa17_0_0](https://docs.google.com/presentation/d/18KRbGwdCwVImm19z2myo9QDAQnzpbaid9K_CSHaCYDs/edit#slide=id.g9591dbaa17_0_0)
  - Revised plan for review in Nov 10 meeting
    - [https://docs.google.com/presentation/d/1GLcjVvMrQTMHTgcAMijKp-lqIDRmzxAJNpWKEg5ek/edit#slide=id.ga4af08b537_4_0](https://docs.google.com/presentation/d/1GLcjVvMrQTMHTgcAMijKp-lqIDRmzxAJNpWKEg5ek/edit#slide=id.ga4af08b537_4_0)

- **Background**
  1) Dates
- Nov 4 - plan
- Nov 18 - budget
- Dec 3 - revised plan and budget

2) Plan is intended to define our priorities, and represents an agreement on what we work towards.

3) Plan is by majority vote. The plan can be changed.

4) Steering Committee does not have to drive details of all decisions. Marketing, spec committees and other program teams can drive decisions within their scope, perhaps more efficiently.

- **Resolution for funding MPWG**
  - See: [https://docs.google.com/document/d/1f4WP5FFRANRWt4T5t03tPMbW6dICJi8VlPBVm-sQE0w/edit](https://docs.google.com/document/d/1f4WP5FFRANRWt4T5t03tPMbW6dICJi8VlPBVm-sQE0w/edit)
  - Would like to affirm the assumption around budgeting of this line item
    - Oracle made some suggestions for changes to verbiage which were accepted.
    - There was consensus on the proposed resolution, though a formal vote was not held.
      - IBM would like a chance for internal review and will be prepared to vote next week. Will alert the group if there is a problem.
  - Eclipse Foundation would like for this to be publicly communicated next week, and we should discuss this next week
  - Propose to restart CN4J discussion next week
    - David and Mark noted he would like for the CN4J alliance discussion to be made public when we become comfortable sharing it, long before it is completed

- **Budget review**
  - [https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1mAFmtMY7kCQdRP-dBqE3zapa17j1HMcG_TrNjSoFvp8/edit#gid=1727074758](https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1mAFmtMY7kCQdRP-dBqE3zapa17j1HMcG_TrNjSoFvp8/edit#gid=1727074758)
  - Provided link to membership fees
    - Expenses are the Eclipse Foundation best estimates
  - There was significant discussion of the draft budget, some summary comments are captured below:
    - Oracle believes the budget should be balanced. The $50K allocation for CN4J should be dropped to $25K to balance the budget.
    - Tomitribe expressed concern over Web Development and Virtual Event Coordination line items, and does not want to see an increase in headcount expenditures.
    - Red Hat does not want to see an increase in headcount expenditures.
Fujitsu would like to better understand the proposed Web Development line item before adopting this budget item.

Payara wants to see a balanced budget.

IBM would like to discuss the draft budget internally before commenting in detail, and has questions on the infrastructure expense items.

There was no consensus on the open questions above at the end of the meeting. Members were invited to propose solutions for the open questions being raised, in order that we may close on the budget by Nov 18.

Review Current State of Program Plan (not discussed)

- https://docs.google.com/presentation/d/1GLcjVvMrQTWMHTgcAMiiKp-IqidRmzxQAJNpWKEg5ek/edit#slide=id.ga4af08b537_4_0

- Notes below on items which have not been sufficiently discussed or resolved
  - Transitioning ecosystem to jakarta namespace
  - Eclipse Foundation should prepare a CI budget
  - We need to discuss goals for recruiting new members
  - Review rewarding contributors/committers to implementation projects

Reference links (not discussed)

- CN4J Alliance doc draft: https://docs.google.com/presentation/d/1tqHnuvTkES1jlV5iDBezrrKRStZA_bv21poO8ryN4Q/edit?ts=5f11fa24#slide=id.g8d80e7e2aa_0_16
- Slides suggested by David
  - https://docs.google.com/presentation/d/1-zvmW0nezn9Bp4aYYafaw9Mxl6n4-qgEXvvuOmyGDM0/edit#slide=id.g9591dbaa17_0_14

Q4 objectives:

- Please review https://docs.google.com/presentation/d/19du8Ccxf4aYc-q5aNnuqIYRlnlOZPUCgPeZU9uW8NE/edit*slide=id.g7b69340134_0_68 :lw!GqivPVa7BrioP2_JY5EOutmfnqal1F0ryAKdAQOT30E3ioJzNDxToxFK-6uX9SVPXq-wqjJclD1Q$

JakartaOne Livestream

- Program is created and published, and we have short talks from 4 vendors
  - https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1jkvN2BxqJHvnknpMbEZj_zmCaoxMIRjzhXHO7Gn-LLE/edit#gid=0

The items below were not discussed. Headings retained as a record for future meetings
Jakarta EE 2020 Operational Plan (not discussed)

Marketing Committee Update and Jakarta EE Update Calls (not discussed)

Jakarta EE Roadmap/Release Cadence - Ivar Grimstad (not discussed)

Tooling (not discussed)