Minutes of January 19, 2021 Jakarta EE Steering Committee Meeting

Please refer to your meeting invitation for the zoom password.

Attendees:

Fujitsu: Not present
IBM: Dan Bandera, Kevin Sutter, Neil Patterson
Oracle: Will Lyons, Dmitry Kornilov, Ed Bratt
Payara: Steve Millidge
Red Hat: Mark Little, Scott Stark, John Clingan
Tomitribe: Cesar Hernandez, David Blevins
Enterprise Member representative: Not present
Participant member representative: Martijn Verburg (LJC)
Committer member representative: Not present
(Quorum is 5 -- simple-majority or one-half of the members (if even number) must be present)

Eclipse: Ivar Grimstad, Paul Buck, Tanja Obradovic, Paul White

Review of Minutes from Prior Meetings (5 minutes)

Minutes of the Jan 12 meeting will be reviewed next time.

Jakarta EE 9.1 (5 minutes)

- Limiting scope of 9.1, want to release this quarter
- Goal is to have a plan by January - and drive any spec updates to “state their case” - spec committee will drive communication
- Still aiming to have a plan by January

2020 Program Plan Q4 Review and 2021 Plan (20 Minutes)

- 2020 Program Plan Q4 Review
  - Review Q4 actuals
    - https://docs.google.com/presentation/d/1Uv3QuhasDyN6e6jInQVbU8pk7z1zSBGg9Cchwqaisql/edit#slide=id.g6475e3fe34_0_169
    - Tanja will prepare summary of contributors to spec projects
      - Please refer to slide 9 of the Q4 actuals
- 2021 plan and budget presentation
  - Review updated plan and budget slide
  - Consensus on making this document public
  - https://drive.google.com/file/d/1nPjYkr5LVS5f6mvwt1nodahtUFFcokjD/view?usp=sharing
2021 Q1 Goals
  ○ Follow up next week

CN4J Alliance (20 Minutes)

  ● [cn4j-alliance@eclipse.org](mailto:cn4j-alliance@eclipse.org) has been created
    ○ Please subscribe to the alias
  ● The discussion has progressed:
    ○ [https://docs.google.com/presentation/d/1g6_DFSxFCHYPlQVyKnMkSD9VJIHgl42Q1ITqPIhuVU/edit?usp=sharing](https://docs.google.com/presentation/d/1g6_DFSxFCHYPlQVyKnMkSD9VJIHgl42Q1ITqPIhuVU/edit?usp=sharing)

  ● Last time, there was significant discussion on how to move the debate on this alliance forward in a positive manner, including:
    ○ Thoughts on process, for example:
      ■ Encouraging open community discussion among members of both Jakarta EE and MP
      ■ Hosting a joint meeting between members of the WGs
    ○ Thoughts and opinions on the issues themselves, for example:
      ■ Whether there is confusion about Jakarta EE and MP in the market
      ■ How to position Jakarta EE and MP as synergistic and complementary
      ■ Models for technology alignment across Jakarta EE and MP
  ● There is active discussion on the CN4J alias
  ● Will recommended the following:
    ○ In general, focus the discussion on coming to explicit agreement on things we implicitly agree on, for example
      ■ We do not want to create confusion in the marketplace
      ■ There is natural alignment between Jakarta EE and MP
      ■ We have two Working Groups today
      ■ We will not create a third Working Group
    ○ For more complex topics, obtain agreement that we will need a process to debate them, for example:
      ■ Jakarta EE “Lite” or not
      ■ Jakarta EE consuming MP APIs
    ○ More specifically host a meeting among members of the Jakarta EE and Microprofile Steering Committees to discuss the following:
      ■ Goals of CN4J
        ● Market and promote the alignment and Jakarta EE and MicroProfile in the marketplace
          ○ Avoid creating market confusion
          ○ Avoid MicroProfile and Jakarta EE being conceived as competing
          ○ Avoid MicroProfile and Jakarta EE being positioned against each other
• Provide a forum for debate of technical issues that span Jakarta EE and MicroProfile, for example
  ○ Should Jakarta EE consume MicroProfile APIs, and how?
  ○ MicroProfile consumes Jakarta EE APIs. How should this model evolve in the future?

■ Non-goals of CN4J
• Change the current Jakarta EE and MicroProfile Working Group structure
• Create a third Working Group
• Create a separate process for hosting specifications

■ Create collaborative committees to address the above
• Create unified messages used by both Working Groups, for example
  ○ Jakarta EE and MicroProfile are aligned and complementary
  ○ Jakarta EE and MicroProfile are both built on Java
  ○ Jakarta EE and MicroProfile both provide Enterprise APIs layered on Java APIs
  ○ Jakarta EE and MicroProfile APIs are complementary and do not overlap
  ○ Many users adopt both Jakarta EE and MicroProfile APIs
  ○ Jakarta EE and MicroProfile are both hosted at the Eclipse Foundation
  ○ Jakarta EE and MicroProfile both use the Eclipse Foundation Specification Process
  ○ There are many common members across the Working Groups
  ○ Many vendors participate in both the Jakarta EE and MicroProfile Working Groups
  ○ Many vendors support both Jakarta EE and MicroProfile APIs
  ○ Many committers and contributors support both Jakarta EE and MicroProfile APIs
  ○ Many implementations support both Jakarta EE and MicroProfile APIs
  ○ CN4J provides a process for engagement across Working Groups

• Leverage opportunities for combined marketing execution across WGs
• Debate of technical issues that span Jakarta EE and MicroProfile, for example
  ○ Should Jakarta EE consume MicroProfile APIs, and how?
MicroProfile consumes Jakarta EE APIs. How should this model evolve in the future?

- There was consensus we should host a combined meeting of the Jakarta EE and MicroProfile Steering Committees on Feb 2 to discuss the above.
- Will took the A/I to send out a note to this effect to the CN4J alias and get the meeting set up.

JakartaOne Livestream

- Tanja prepared the following LiveStream results summary.
  - https://docs.google.com/presentation/d/1BoJKsrU-IoTo7CBsI4EVBOjs79l0qSKNToW0T
  - K3FxE/edit#slide=id.gae25a66dc2_0_0

Marketing Committee Update (not discussed)

- Met on January 14th
  - Changed meetings to bi-weekly cadence with alternating times to support APAC and added recordings of meetings for those who can’t attend.
  - Goals and Priorities by quarter - link
- Met on January 7th
- Agreed to move Marketing Committee Meetings to bi-weekly and alternate timezones
  - Initial: 11:00 AM EST, 8:00 AM PST, 12:00 AM China
  - Alternate: 8 PM China, 9 PM in Japan. This would be 7 AM EST, 1 PM CET
- Reviewed key projects for 2021
  - Collateral Repository
  - Why Jakarta EE (Roadmap) content
  - JakartaOne Livestream
  - Case Studies (form)
  - SEO & member site updates
  - Jakarta EE Website redesign (go live start of Q2)
  - New Communities + localization
    - Chinese (in process)

How to manage “Working Group Steering Committee” announcements (top of the agenda next time)

- In the December 15 meeting, it was suggested the working group committee develop an “Announcements” feature to facilitate general updates. These can then be amplified by various other means (Twitter, Facebook, Etc.)
  - David was to add further details about this optional recommendation on the mailing list for further discussion between now and the next meeting.
    - This will be discussed jointly between steering committee and the marketing committee.