

Minutes of Feb 26 Jakarta EE Steering Committee Meeting

The Zoom ID is:

<https://eclipse.zoom.us/j/499849869>

Attendees:

Fujitsu: Kenji Kazumura, Mike DeNicola

IBM: Kevin Sutter

Oracle: Will Lyons

Payara: Steve Millidge

Red Hat: John Clingan

Tomitribe: David Blevins, Richard Monson-Haefel, Jean-Louis Monteiro

Martijn Verburg

Ivar Grimstad

Eclipse: Mike Milinkovich

Review of Minutes from Prior Meeting

Minutes of the Feb 5, 12, and 19th meetings were approved.

Trademark Licensing Agreement and Other Agreements

An updated Trademark License Agreement, reflecting the Jan 8 Steering Committee discussion, has been delivered to the Eclipse Foundation. Oracle and the Eclipse Foundation have met on this multiple times since Feb 8, but there are still unresolved issues. These issues continue to affect Oracle's ability to execute the Jakarta EE Participation Agreement, for which Oracle has an extension to execute by March 1 per the following from the Dec 18 minutes:

Per the last two weeks' discussion, Oracle does not expect to sign the Participation Agreement by Dec 31 and requests an additional 60 days to complete this. In reference to the following resolution adopted Sept 11:

RESOLVED, the EMO is requested to contact each member in the Jakarta EE Working Group no later than January 1, 2019 to arrange for their execution of the Jakarta EE Participation Agreement, and all members who fail to execute the Agreement within 90 days of being contacted will be removed from the Jakarta EE Working Group until such time as they do execute the Agreement.

Oracle requests the following resolution be adopted:

RESOLVED, the Jakarta EE Steering Committee approves granting Oracle an extension to March 1, 2019 to execute the Jakarta EE Working Group Participation Agreement, with the understanding the effective date of the Oracle agreement will remain as January 1, 2019.

The resolution was unanimously adopted.

Oracle would like to request an additional 30 day extension to enable resolution of the current set of open issues, in the interest of maximizing the probability of successful resolution of these issues. We are raising this topic for discussion today, although there may not be sufficient quorum to make a decision in today's meeting.

The following resolution was unanimously adopted in today's meeting:

Resolved, that the Steering Committee approves Oracle's participation in the Working Group at least through the next meeting of the Jakarta EE Steering Committee meeting on March 5, with the intent of informing all members and discussing this in the meeting on March 5.

Eclipse GlassFish release and TCK testing

Any update on the following:

https://bugs.eclipse.org/bugs/show_bug.cgi?id=543917

Progress has been made on this bug, but this continues to impact work Oracle is doing, and is not fully resolved. If this continues, this will become a blocking issue.

Marketing Committee Update

Brand and Marketing Committee will make a decision on compatibility logos, using the vote results as input. On the agenda for Feb 21.

Meeting focused on compatibility and member logo decision. 11 proposed logos down to about 4-5, with requested modifications. Decision expected next meeting on March 14.

Working Group monthly call:

Wednesday, February 27 · 11:00am – 12:00pm EST

The developer survey will come out sometime next week, similar to last year's. Please encourage participation.

Jakarta EE 8 Release

The scope of the release was agreed to as described in the following document:

<https://docs.google.com/document/d/15rsZ5e3ONjsJjP635yev3dVjV5ZiKdlvRuHXQXpwQus/edit>

The "Next Steps" document provides an overview of the current plan:

https://docs.google.com/presentation/d/1VFaaE5-HaDIdm4c-ldJTcyO0sGoYcumGchq_aoNUq2M/edit#slide=id.g4d87466c3c_0_0

Tanja has requested that we review her google doc:

<https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/15HdTmpvVIW53zm6wGwZoli5c1kRzM79G-ZDHe4FVMs/edit#gid=503170349>

...to review sign-up status.

Progress:

- 1) Spec Doc process
 - a) Paul Buck signed up as Steering Committee lead for the Jakarta EE Spec Doc process
 - b) Wayne Beaton is Jakarta EE Spec Process Lead
 - c) Tanja Obradovic is Specifications Projects Project Lead
 - d) Fleshed out some of the Schedule for the Spec Projects work
- 2) TCK Document
 - a) David Blevins leading TCK process
 - b) Oracle willing to lead and contribute to TCK work
- 3) Distribution of Specs
 - a) Currently open
- 4) Compatible Implementations
 - a) Kevin is the Steering Committee Lead
 - b) Open Liberty, Payara Server, Wildfly, GlassFish plan implementations
- 5) Marketing
 - a) Mark Little is the Steering Committee lead
 - b) Cesar Saavedra is working on a marketing plan

To do:

- Work to be done
- Interdependencies
- Communicate, build community
- Add work items/content to the existing tabs

Open issue - putting a stake in the ground for Jakarta EE 8 delivery date

Budget Issue

Mike described that the Jakarta EE budget is already exceeded, primarily due to excess legal costs. Mike raised this to the attention of the Steering Committee for awareness at this time.

It was suggested that future discussions include a review of actual vs planned spend.

Proposed Specification Names

This agenda item is a placeholder for now. The Spec Names list is here:

https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1_f-VsI8pjCBSc0gFritz-Axdw8oK5dfcM2H9mFrPxxE/e/dit#gid=157814126

I assume we will defer the topic of acronym selection.

Jakarta Summit

Follow up to last week's meeting. Notes from last week's meeting below.

Concerns expressed:

- The naming of the meeting
- Timing of communication, esp as regards to Jakarta EE 9 planning - need to actively reach out to the community re: Jakarta EE 9 in advance - the intent should be communicated that this is a beginning
- Must be open to the public

The following was recommended:

- If this is to focus on Jakarta EE 8, pull the date in, scope this down to a Working Group meeting (and not the community).
- If this is to focus on Jakarta EE 9, initiate feedback from the community first, and push the date out.

Group comments on supporting/attending a F2F Jakarta EE 8 Working group meeting:

- Oracle: There would be value in such a F2F meeting, need to define an agenda
- Red Hat: Legal issues currently are blockers, would make sense to outline agenda now, but schedule when legal issues resolved
- Martijn/LJC: Supportive of attending local (London) meetings but would not fly to Ottawa
- Tomitribe: Would probably not attend a physical meeting. Couldn't this be done remotely?
- Payara: Not sure if would travel overseas for this.
- IBM: Makes sense to have a F2F meeting to move implementation work forward

Consensus was to work on defining an agenda over the next 1-2 weeks and schedule when there is more clarity on resolution of legal issues.