Meeting Minutes of February 18 Jakarta EE Steering Committee Meeting

The Zoom ID is:
https://eclipse.zoom.us/j/499849869

Attendees:

Fujitsu: Mike Denicola, Kenji Kazumura
IBM: Kevin Sutter
Oracle: Will Lyons, Dmitry Kornilov, Ed Bratt
Payara: Eliot Martin
Red Hat: Mark Little, Scott Stark
Tomitribe: Cesar Hernandez
Participant member representative: Alex Theedom
Committer member representative: Arjan Tjims
(Quorum is 4 -- simple-majority or one-half of the members (if even number) must be present)

Eclipse: Paul Buck, Paul White, Ivar Grimstad, Shabnam Mayel

Review of Minutes from Prior Meetings

Meeting minutes of the Feb 4 meeting were approved.

Minutes of the Feb 11 meeting will be reviewed next time.

Marketing Committee Update and Jakarta EE Update Calls

- JakartaOne Livestream Japan (Feb 26)
  - Update from Kenji
  - Mark Little and John Clingan still working on vendor talk
  - 85 registrants as of this week
  - Need more promotion - IBM and Fujitsu promoting, requesting Red Hat and Oracle to promote through their channels
  - https://docs.google.com/document/d/17KbwfheYJ0UABlxMdUj27HWvlgF2WCugM53KQ3jy0hg/edit#

- Kubecon Europe plan
  - https://docs.google.com/document/d/1uECHm37ziSIVbZEALphHTqu9XW2YMHwkLwGin7ISwM/edit#
  - Update on agenda, registration, volunteers.
  - Expecting approximately (up to) 300 participants. Cloud Native Computing Foundation, IBM, RedHat and Oracle are sponsors at this time.
Looking for **volunteers** for **booth coverage** at Kubecon. No volunteers yet!

- 72 registrants as of last week. **More promotion is welcome. Please promote. Social media Kit to use to promote**
- Would expect to follow a similar pattern in Kubecon NA (Boston)
  - Highlighting Strategic WG members is requested.

**Jakarta EE Update Calls**
- [https://docs.google.com/document/d/1U81TZ2F_nhg6WxoE1VnpUUEQ09r8SXWpaN3hf3wiTWQ/edit#](https://docs.google.com/document/d/1U81TZ2F_nhg6WxoE1VnpUUEQ09r8SXWpaN3hf3wiTWQ/edit#)
- Last week’s call was hosted by EF. Wayne and Shabnam. EF does tracking of recordings.
- Next JKT EE call on 11 March. Steve M will host a call last week of Feb.

**Jakarta Tech Talks - Tanja is open for suggestions**
- Have a talk this week (Feb 18).
- Looking for more topics, had a couple of sign ups last week.
- [https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/19AfvCUdScUHwJejMYg370tum5mi7zl4bvkZczcQXiUM/edit#gid=0](https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/19AfvCUdScUHwJejMYg370tum5mi7zl4bvkZczcQXiUM/edit#gid=0)
- All topics for Cloud Native Java will be considered. Looking for more presentation referrals.

**DevNexus Atlanta -- Feb 19-21**
- There will be an Eclipse Foundation **booth**. Eclipse will be hosting a reception on Feb. 19th - goal is 150. Link to **registration** for CN4J Meetup reception. Have assistance covering this booth.

**Jakarta EE development survey coming up next quarter**
- **Draft** available for comment (look at through lens of continuity + new)
- Last year’s survey results are published here:

**The Q4 Marketing Operations Update** is provided at the following link. [https://docs.google.com/presentation/d/1f9BbTdBfntK36LmQNdeaqz43NMNH3hZvEoWly3Mid-A/edit#slide=id.g6475e3fe34_0_169](https://docs.google.com/presentation/d/1f9BbTdBfntK36LmQNdeaqz43NMNH3hZvEoWly3Mid-A/edit#slide=id.g6475e3fe34_0_169)

**Enterprise engagement deck** updated recently, please modify/use in recruiting efforts. Feedback requested on this deck - e.g. usefulness.

**A membership prospectus has been published at:**

**Foundation has created a list of enabling JUGs. Looking for members to sign up and present on Jakarta EE at JUGs.**
- [https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1YDTAyynuhlNVBJK-Cib4XAW7gVw0TLu5R0uV3FukW20/edit#gid=0](https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1YDTAyynuhlNVBJK-Cib4XAW7gVw0TLu5R0uV3FukW20/edit#gid=0)

**Operationalizing Jakarta EE Program Plan**
- Tanja and Will have drafted a document that translates the goals of the 2020 plan:
- [https://drive.google.com/open?id=1S053agg7BeBM4wSaGhtbANE6tIFBc3Ap0Z-e-xdEOm](https://drive.google.com/open?id=1S053agg7BeBM4wSaGhtbANE6tIFBc3Ap0Z-e-xdEOm)
- ...Into quarterly objectives/milestones/outcomes. We will review.
  - [https://docs.google.com/presentation/d/19du8Ccx4aYc-g5ANnuqYR1nl00ZPUcgPeZU9uW8NE/edit#slide=id.g7b69340134_0_132](https://docs.google.com/presentation/d/19du8Ccx4aYc-g5ANnuqYR1nl00ZPUcgPeZU9uW8NE/edit#slide=id.g7b69340134_0_132)
  - [https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1uYyX43aNIQgZfjuSeBrj4LGCEH4sBilHqKGSOWrEc/edit?urp=gmail_link#gid=0](https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1uYyX43aNIQgZfjuSeBrj4LGCEH4sBilHqKGSOWrEc/edit?urp=gmail_link#gid=0)
- All docs are in the Steering Committee folder, program plans.
- Q1 goal suggestions were reviewed and feedback was requested.
  - Dan and Will will meet in two weeks to discuss backward compatibility goal

**Jakarta EE 8 Follow-Up**

- All known follow-up issues concluded
- Will reserve this as an agenda item for open issues on Jakarta EE 8

**Jakarta EE 9**

- Eclipse Jenkins status issue is resolved
- Update on progress
  - EJB project needs a release plan - close to ballot, Making progress.
  - Still waiting on Activation project release plan going to ballot.
- Update on tooling:
  - Arjan had sent an email to open a discussion on this topic:
    - [https://www.eclipse.org/lists/jakarta.ee-community/msg01594.html](https://www.eclipse.org/lists/jakarta.ee-community/msg01594.html)
  - Tanja noted the need for ownership for tooling vendors. This has been started per below:
    - [https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1uYyX43aNIQgZfjuSeBrj4LGCEH4sBilHqKGSOWrEuc/edit?urp=gmail_link#gid=1810653774](https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1uYyX43aNIQgZfjuSeBrj4LGCEH4sBilHqKGSOWrEuc/edit?urp=gmail_link#gid=1810653774)

**Discussion on membership fees**

- Follow up on the proposal approved at the following URL for “committers”:
  - [https://docs.google.com/document/d/1VMXVzdfBfIkfhW0rmTXI2sRAdECaVTXnElZ_RSrUME/edit#](https://docs.google.com/document/d/1VMXVzdfBfIkfhW0rmTXI2sRAdECaVTXnElZ_RSrUME/edit#)

  And on the flowchart explaining the process below:
And on the published consent agreement.
  - [https://www.eclipse.org/legal/#CommitterAgreements](https://www.eclipse.org/legal/#CommitterAgreements)

- David and Dan had requested that we consider the case of “contributors” who are not yet “committers” - the EF will research and respond to this question this week.
- Eclipse Foundation has created communications in social media

**Jakarta EE and MicroProfile**

- MicroProfile team members have distributed a proposal for a WG for MicroProfile. One of the proposals involves a combined Jakarta EE/MicroProfile Working Group. The intent is that if the combined proposal is recommended, that proposal would be brought to the Jakarta EE WG.
  - The proposal is to have a soft stake in the ground by end of Feb, possibly sooner, and votable proposal end of March
  - Most comments are being made in [MicroProfile GitHub Sandbox](https://github.com/eclipse/microprofile). Proposed modifications are via pull requests and comments often occur on those pull requests.
- Hangout at 11 AM PST using zoom: [https://eclipse.zoom.us/j/949859967](https://eclipse.zoom.us/j/949859967) a continuation of a bi-weekly (now weekly) call to work on input and issues related to working group for MicroProfile. ([MicroProfile calendar](https://calendar.google.com/calendar?view=day&癇lockEmbed=true&EmbedID=4x4ggv9yvdxh1bvd7f03j33mgm%40group.calendar.google.com)

- A blog has been published at: [https://blogs.oracle.com/theaquarium/jakarta-ee-working-group-is-open-to-forming-a-working-relationship-with-microprofile](https://blogs.oracle.com/theaquarium/jakarta-ee-working-group-is-open-to-forming-a-working-relationship-with-microprofile)

See:
[https://docs.google.com/document/d/1buHrDkynsaOQPj-YTop3uUzQ1IsyDsORdlLvD74CHk/edit#heading=h.xg3r945h2b2i](https://docs.google.com/document/d/1buHrDkynsaOQPj-YTop3uUzQ1IsyDsORdlLvD74CHk/edit#heading=h.xg3r945h2b2i)
Also see:

Steve published an additional alternative at:
https://blog.payara.fish/microprofile-and-jakarta-ee-technical-alignment

John Clingan commented last week that his interpretation of Steve’s suggestion was that it was more limited (to Jakarta EE and MP) than might have been suggested by the CN4J group. The EF’s position is that it is attempting to meet the needs defined by the community and does not have a strong position on it - Paul will come back with a comment on intended scope this week. Ian questioned whether an “umbrella” WG could be created which might have multiple underlying or related working groups.

Allowing Java User Group use of Jakarta EE, and use of the brand more generally

- The Steering Committee generally supports use of the Jakarta EE brand in this manner, and has recommended creating some structured process around it.
- The Eclipse Foundation has drafted an Agreement which could be used with JUGs, not yet a program for operationalizing this.
- Similar question came up in the context of “Starter Project for Jakarta EE”. This is approved.
  - The request to the Steering Committee should then be to formulate some guidelines as to when it is ok to call a project "Jakarta EE <something>" and when does it have to be "<something> for Jakarta EE".
- From last time: Wayne and Dan continue to work on this - still open.

Release Cadence discussion

- Discussion about cadence of releases from Jan 14 (John C provided the following summary).
  - Spec projects can release at any cadence they can implement to.
  - John C. suggests that slower does not equate to a negative. Stability is also of concern - what cadence do Jakarta EE users want to absorb (based on how they have been deploying Java EE up to this point)?
  - TCK compatibility requirements also have details about how independent releases can be absorbed. We may need to change these requirements, to support flexibility that we want to achieve.
  - The committee would like to identify barriers to complete independence with respect to release schedules. Jakarta may provide opportunities for expanding this flexibility.
We could include questions about this in a survey to help refine the community input. There are many possibilities for accomplishing this.

Suggested that the committee adopt a statement (or resolution) recommending improvement in the frequency of releases and that we work to identify and perhaps relax requirements that make releases take longer. Then the subcommittees and committer working groups could be asked to provide feedback about their processes and requirements that could be changed to meet this goal.

What is the next step to be taken on this topic?