Minutes from December 18 Jakarta EE Steering Committee Meeting

The Zoom ID for the remainder of 2018 is:
https://eclipse.zoom.us/j/499849869

Attendees:

Fujitsu: Kenji Kazumura, Mike Denicola
IBM: Dan Bandera
Oracle: Will Lyons
Payara: Steve Millidge
Red Hat: John Clingan, Scott Stark
Tomitribe: Richard Monson-Hafael
Martijn Verburg
Ivar Grimstad (not present)

Eclipse: Mike Milinkovich, Paul White, Wayne Beaton

Review of Minutes from Prior Meeting

Minutes of Dec 4 meeting were approved.

Minutes of the Dec 11 meeting will be reviewed at the next Steering Committee meeting, which will be on January 8. Oracle will send an Eclipse GlassFish release update on Jan 2 to the steering committee and marketing committee aliases.

Updates on work for Eclipse GlassFish release (no longer about Oracle contributions)

Goal for GA announcement: Eclipse GlassFish 5.1 passes updated Java EE 8 CTS 100%.

Eclipse GlassFish 5.1:
- Built at EE4J
- All GlassFish components formerly built from Oracle sources are built from EE4J sources that Oracle has contributed to EE4J.

Updated Java EE 8 CTS:
- Java EE 8 CTS binary tests executed on Oracle infrastructure.
- Java EE 8 CTS binary tests that are verified to pass 100% on the latest Java EE 8 RI.
- The latest Java EE 8 RI is Oracle GlassFish 5.0.1, containing fixes also included in EE4J sources.

Working to the following schedule:
Sep 21 -- All code required for GF build contributed.
Sep 23 -- Eclipse GlassFish builds.
Oct 1 -- Java EE 8 CTS testing.
Oct 22 -- Eclipse GlassFish 5.1-RC1 milestone release.
Oct 31 -- CI/CD release pipelines completed.
Nov 12 -- Dependencies updated. All projects are released to OSSRH and have dependencies to Eclipse version of other components. (complete)
Nov 30 -- Release Review completed. (complete)
TBD* -- when we have an Eclipse GlassFish 5.1 build with CTS results -- Eclipse GlassFish 5.1 release. All CTS tests are passed. (discussed below)

*Date to be set when we will an Eclipse GlassFish build with all EE4J components integrated and a set of Java EE 8 CTS results. Believe this will be during Jan 2019.

Update from Dmitry

1. Staging Release: Implementations
   [https://github.com/orgs/eclipse-ee4j/projects/5](https://github.com/orgs/eclipse-ee4j/projects/5)
   Finished.

2. GlassFish Integration
   [https://github.com/orgs/eclipse-ee4j/projects/6](https://github.com/orgs/eclipse-ee4j/projects/6)
   35 done, 2 in progress (expect to be complete today)

EclipseLink Status

All dependencies are updated, waiting for jpa-api to be built by our release engineer for integration to EL. It should be completed today. RC1 should be ready after that (assuming on Wed morning) , GlassFish PR will be published once RC1 is ready; my preliminary testing (CTS smoke) shown no JPA related errors.

Jersey status

All the tests are passing but the EJB tests. The issue is in circular dependencies. I have found a way to fix this in GF, so i assume fixing the test would be simple enough to be able to integrate today.

3. CTS results

   a) We have the finalized the updated Java EE 8 CTS.
• We are running the updated Java EE 8 CTS tests on Oracle infrastructure against preliminary Eclipse GlassFish 5.1 builds (builds containing all of the EE4J components integrated so far).

Results from Dec 12 run - 51313 tests, 5370 failures.

b) We are also executing tests built from EE4J TCK sources on Eclipse infrastructure, against Eclipse GlassFish 5.1 builds.


4. Project board to track CTS and integration tasks

https://github.com/orgs/eclipse-ee4j/projects/8
6 done, 3 in progress, 3 to do

Planning for the end of year announcements:

On hold pending the confirmation of an Eclipse GlassFish 5.1 release date.

Oracle will send GF release update on Jan 2 to the steering committee and marketing committee aliases.

Tracking document for this announcement activity is given below.
https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1RjZMySf_dLkBhQu1wl8KgKml8BZLtDOWFQXbMW_d-Zg/edit#gid=0

Legal Documents

Trademark License Agreement

Oracle has provided a Draft Trademark License Agreement to the Eclipse Foundation.

Oracle and Eclipse are meeting tomorrow afternoon on this topic.

Participation Agreements
Per the last two weeks’ discussion, Oracle does not expect to sign the Participation Agreement by Dec 31 and requests an additional 60 days to complete this. In reference to the following resolution adopted Sept 11:

RESOLVED, the EMO is requested to contact each member in the Jakarta EE Working Group no later than January 1, 2019 to arrange for their execution of the Jakarta EE Participation Agreement, and all members who fail to execute the Agreement within 90 days of being contacted will be removed from the Jakarta EE Working Group until such time as they do execute the Agreement.

Oracle requests the following resolution be adopted:

RESOLVED, the Jakarta EE Steering Committee approves granting Oracle an extension to March 1, 2019 to execute the Jakarta EE Working Group Participation Agreement, with the understanding the effective date of the Oracle agreement will remain as January 1, 2019.

The resolution was unanimously adopted.

Marketing Committee Update

Any other updates for the group.

Will make decision on the Eclipse GlassFish release at the Jan 3 meeting, assuming there is a release date defined.

The group requested a defined release date from Oracle on Jan. 2.

Marketing committee agreed to have a presence at DevNexus on March 6.

Weekly Meeting Schedule

We will use the same standing time slot for this meeting through March 31, 2019 starting January 8.

Bootstrapping Specification Projects

Paul requested for the purpose of bootstrapping Specification projects (and IP Capture) that each Strategic Member of the WG assign one committer to each spec project, through the first release of the new spec.

- Mark requested (last week) an update from Mike Milinkovich on whether RH counsel has agreed this is necessary. Mike confirmed this was acceptable.
• Assignment of committers requires each member to execute a Jakarta EE Participation Agreement and Member Contributor and Committer Agreement

Mike to lead a discussion on this topic, including a description of the rationale for the suggestion.

Summary from Mike recorded as follows:

1) We want the Jakarta EE process to be the successor to Java EE.
2) There are vendors with large patent portfolios - want to make grants as safe as possible.
3) Two options for approaches:
   a) Negotiate a patent licensing agreement with each vendor to license the patents in some manner consistent with goals. Would require EF to enter into separate agreements with at least 6 vendors. Expected to be time consuming and costly.
   b) Agreed by IBM and RH, the approach above. By virtue of assignment of the committer to each spec project, and the creation vote and the finalization vote, the patent licenses for the specifications are accomplished in a safe manner. The requirement to assign a committer to each project would only be required for the Jakarta EE 8 spec projects (and not future Jakarta EE versions). This requires each member to execute a Jakarta EE Participation Agreement and Member Contributor and Committer Agreement, which was unanimously approved in the October Eclipse BOD meeting (note the the both of the above agreements reference a modified IP Policy which was approved, but not unanimously).

   Note that the format in which spec content itself is transferred has not been defined at this time.

We will review and vote on a Steering Committee resolution on this topic at the Jan 8 meeting. Mike will prepare a document summarizing this topic in advance of the Jan 8 meeting.