Jakarta EE Spec Committee - May 5th, 2021

Attendees (present in bold):

Kenji Kazumura - Fujitsu
Dan Bandera - IBM - Kevin Sutter, Tom Watson
Ed Bratt - Oracle - Dmitry Kornilov
Andrew Pielage - Payara - Matt Gill
Scott Stark - Red Hat - Mark Little, Scott Marlow
David Blevins - Tomitribe - Jean-Louis Monteiro, Cesar Hernandez
Ivar Grimstad - PMC Representative
Marcelo Ancelmo - Participant Member - Martijn Verburg
Werner Keil - Committer Member
Jun Qian - Primeton - Enterprise Member

Eclipse Foundation: Tanja Obradovic, Paul Buck (chair)

Past business / action items:
● Approval is requested for the meeting minutes from the April 21st meeting as drafted - Approved.

Agenda:
● Jakarta EE charter Specification Committee Composition - The Committee elects a chair who reports to the Steering Committee. This chair is selected among the members of the Committee. They will serve from April 1 to March 31 of each calendar year, or until their successor is elected and qualified, or as otherwise provided for in this Charter.
  ○ Question: Are there any objections to the current Spec Committee chair continuing to serve the committee as their non-voting chair for another term?
  ○ Item to remain open until the next meeting which is on May the 5th - Closed
Incumbent (non-voting) chair will continue to serve the committee as their chair for the period concluding on March 31, 2022.

● Ongoing tracking spreadsheet of individual specs progress through the JESP
  ○ Jakarta EE 9.1 ballot underway for Release Review, closes on May 17th
    ■ https://github.com/jakartaeex/specifications/pull/372
    Conclusion of a CQ will be voted on May 19 which is after the planned release date `May 14th` but before the marketing release date `May 25th`.
    ■ CQ are managed separately and if the CQ is denied, that will impact the EE 9.1 release.
  ○ Jakarta EE 10 Plan Review PRs - Candidate specifications
- [ ] https://github.com/jakartaee/specifications/pulls

- Compatible Implementations (CI) to include in Release Review and Ratification step of specifications
  - Discussion of this topic in light of experiences with the Jakarta EE 9.1
  - What is the purpose of the implementation to ratify the specification?
    - A. Constrain the set of CIs based on some criteria
      - Currently there is nothing prohibiting multiple builds / distributions of the same open source project to be included in the ratification
      - What is the motivation to be included in the list of projects/products used for ratification?
      - How to limit in a fair and neutral way?
      - For derivatives of open source implementations, how many changes are required to determine the derivatives are distinct?
      - Request for the EMO to assess these questions and provide input
    - B. Instead of listing the CI's, include a link to the certification request. Then no process change is required. Show the CCRs that were used.
      - Kevin and Ivar to prepare a proposal
      - Options A. and B. to be revisited in May 19th Spec Committee meeting

- Proposal for how to handle optional features in Jakarta EE specifications including Platform and Web Profile. The proposal is [here](https://github.com/jakartaee/specifications/pulls).
  - Committee to continue to review, provide comments on the mailing list and in the document. To be explored further in the May 19th Spec Committee meeting

- Jakarta EE 2021 Program Plan [Q2 objectives review](https://github.com/jakartaee/specifications/pulls) and progress update
  - See the spreadsheet for updates and notes