Past business / action items

○ Approval of Meeting min May 15th, May 22nd DEFERRED

Moving forward without the javax namespace

○ We had a lengthy discussion on this topic

○ Here what I heard is the statement we will share on the Jakarta EE Update call June 12th

The specification committee has put out two approaches regarding restrictions on javax package namespace use for the community to consider, Big Bang and Incremental. Based on the input we got from the community and discussions within the Working Group, the specification committee has not yet reached consensus on the approach to be taken, until work on the binary compatibility is further explored. With that in mind the Working Group members will invest time to work on the technical approach for binary compatibility and then propose / decide on the option that is the best for the customers, vendors and developers.

Binary Compatibility

To address binary compatibility, we need the following:

1. Design and architecture document with detailed explanation of binary compatibility and how to achieve it

2. Proof of Concept to indicate effectiveness
3. An implementation to demonstrate

Timeframe for completion is Feb 2020

- Status of Javax thread on Platform list
  - Link to GitHub content...
    https://github.com/eclipse-ee4j/jakartaee-platform/tree/master/namesp ace
  - ACTION(ALL) review content and comment (pull requests welcome).
    Encourage community to move discussion there.
  - Link to the latest email / document
  - ACTION (Wayne) reinforce with the community that the namespace will be "jakarta.*" (not "jakarta.ee.*"). Reference Ivar’s blog post on the topic
    https://www.agilejava.eu/2019/05/05/jakarta-going-forward/

- Binary compatibility discussion document.
  - https://github.com/eclipse-ee4j/jakartaee-platform/pull/15
  - ACTION (All): Request for comment.