Jakarta EE Spec Committee Agenda May 13, 2020

Attendees (present in bold):

Kenji Kazumura - Fujitsu
Dan Bandera - IBM - Kevin Sutter
Bill Shannon - Oracle - Ed Bratt, Dmitry Kornilov
Mark Wareham - Payara - Matt Gill
Scott Stark - Red Hat - Mark Little
David Blevins - Tomitribe - Jean-Louis Monterio, Cesar Hernandez
Ivar Grimstad - PMC Representative
Martijn Verburg - Participant Member
Werner Keil - Committer Member
Scott (Congquan) Wang - Primeton - Enterprise Member

Eclipse Foundation: Wayne Beaton, Tanja Obradovic, Paul Buck, Christie Witt

Past business / action items:
- Request for approval of the minutes from the April 29th meeting as drafted.

Agenda
- Review the process simplifications document being prepared by Dmitry and Kevin. Target is to review the completed draft in the May 13th Spec Committee meeting. [Dmitry, Kevin]
  - https://docs.google.com/document/d/1yp06hI4fULu5SVPlC96EK8lIZrAb8WFmg79QQfzjwY0/edit
  - Proposal was reviewed and discussed and a question was asked can the PR itself be simplified?
  - The PR content all seems “required". Spec Committee is asked to review and provide input on the doc. To be revisited in the next meeting on 27th.
- Improving the formatting of specification project pages - Review mockups - To be revisited again in the meeting on the 13th. [Christie if available]
  - https://github.com/jakartaeec/jakarta.ee/issues/572#event-2924526244
  - Need to add the metadata field for the short text description 57 to 60 characters. Work to continue on the issue.
- What are the requirements for TCK licenses for artifacts in Maven Central? https://jakarta.ee/committees/specification/tckprocess/
  Can the TCK be dual licensed at Maven Central - EFTL & Apache or EPL? Input from Wayne Beaton:
  - The requirement is that in order to be considered a valid Compatible Implementation, the vendor must run the EFTL version of the TCK.
• So... if the way that you run the TCK to validate a Compatible Implementation is to acquire it via Maven Central, then there must be a means of acquiring the TCK under the EFTL from Maven Central.
• Dual licensing (of the final ratified artifact only) would satisfy the requirement.
  i) Question: Does Maven Central support dual licensing or do two copies need to be pushed to Maven Central. One with open source lic and the other with EFTL.
  ii) EF to confirm that the dual lic approach is acceptable.
• Creation ballot for Jakarta MVC specification project [Ivar]
  Item discussed. Vote underway on the public Spec Committee list. Question was asked regarding when to do the PR to create the spec page?
  https://projects.eclipse.org/proposals/jakarta-mvc

The following topics were not covered in the May 13th meeting
• How to handle revisions to TCKs [Ed]
  ○ When a TCK revision is needed/produced by an API project team, what process requirements are followed? What about older TCK releases?
    ■ I would propose
    ● Some type of notification including a change overview
    ● Existing TCK versions ought to remain valid and published
    ● Perhaps some limitations
      ○ Ex. in JCP Challenged tests could only be excluded or new tests added optional/alternates
    ● If needed, verification that ancillary change requirements (i.e. the tests are rolled up into Platform TCK) are also handled
• Milestone Release Artifacts [KWS]
• Steering Committee on April 7th, 2020 requested a future action for the Spec Committee to structure a discussion on how MP specs may be consumed for Jakarta EE
  ○ Noted here and to be tabled for a future meeting