Past business / action items:
  ● Approval is requested for the meeting minutes from the March 10th meeting as drafted - Approved

Agenda:
  ● Ongoing tracking spreadsheet of individual specs progress through the JESP
    ○ Jakarta EE 9.1 Plan Review ballot complete and approved
    ○ Target for the Release Review of Platform Spec is early May
  ■ Questions and discussion: Do all the individual specs referenced by the Platform Spec need to be certified against Java SE 11? Do each one of the stand alone TCKs need to be used to validate their respective compatible implementations (via a CCR) or testing the Platform sufficiently? All standalone TCKs are apparently passing, those generated from the Platform TCK and the standalone ones. Must i) verify that all of the TCKs for the individual specifications run and pass, ii) release the TCKs and update the individual spec pages to provide a link to the 9.1 version (Service Release) of the TCK. Are CCRs required? Is this a Service Release for the individual spec TCKs? The specs are not being broken, the TCKs are being updated (if needed) to support Java SE 11. Also for reference, see chat text from the call included below [1.]
  Action: Ed Bratt to write up a proposal and circulate on the mailing list for further discussion and alignment
    ○ Jakarta EE 10 - Deadline for projects to start Plan Reviews is April 15th
 Approvals for creating new profiles, see Specification section in the EFSP

The following items were not covered and will be added to the agenda for next meeting

- Specification Committee’s input to the Jakarta EE 2021 Program Plan - Quarterly Progress presentation and the Jakarta EE 2021 Progress by Quarter tracking spreadsheet [Tanja]
  - Q1: Rows 7, 29 and 42
  - Q2: Row 11

- Ballot underway on the jakarta.ee-spec mailing list - Denoting which implementation was used to ratify a specification

- Ballot required? In previous discussions the Specification Committee decided to not list “other/additional” compatible implementations of the Platform or Web Profile specifications, a link will be added to the respective spec project pages to the Jakarta EE Compatible Products page.
  - Defer until above “denotation” ballot for individual specs completes?

1. Chat text during the call

12:19:15 From David Blevins to Everyone: Will we be generating new component TCKs for Java 11, yes or no?
12:19:27 From David Blevins to Everyone: That's the big line for me
12:20:08 From Scott Marlow (Red Hat) to Everyone: Yes, we are generating new Component (Standalone) TCKs for each technology
12:20:50 From David Blevins to Everyone: What version will they have, is that a service release?
12:22:23 From Scott Marlow (Red Hat) to Everyone: They will have the current version + 1
12:33:19 From Edward Bratt to Everyone:  
https://ci.eclipse.org/jakartaee-tck/blue/organizations/jenkins/jakartaee-tck/detail/master/1350/pipeline
12:35:08 From Edward Bratt to Everyone: That should take you to a page with all the stand-alone TCKs verified to run against JDK 11
12:36:52 From Edward Bratt to Everyone: There are 20 specifications listed on this graph
12:40:27 From Scott Marlow (Red Hat) to Everyone:  
https://github.com/eclipse-ee4j/jakartaee-tck/wiki/TCK-Challenge-processing-status
shows what we did for the Platform TCK 9.0.2 service release
12:56:46 From Kevin Sutter to Everyone: Here was the specific case that Scott and I were discussing earlier regards to TCK 9.0.2:  
https://github.com/jakartaee/specifications/pull/330
12:57:07 From Kevin Sutter to Everyone: This updated JAX-RS TCK to 3.0.1
12:57:16 From Kevin Sutter to Everyone: Neither of these had explicit CCRs.
13:03:21 From Edward Bratt to Everyone: My Servlet question: Would an outside user reasonably expect to run the Standalone TCK for Servlet on JKD 11?