Jakarta EE Spec Committee - January 27th, 2021

Attendees (present in bold):

Kenji Kazumura - Fujitsu

Dan Bandera - IBM - Kevin Sutter, Tom Watson (guest)

Ed Bratt - Oracle - Dmitry Kornilov

Andrew Pielage - Payara - Matt Gill

Scott Stark - Red Hat - Mark Little, Scott Marlow

David Blevins - Tomitribe - Jean-Louis Monteiro, Cesar Hernandez

Ivar Grimstad - PMC Representative

Marcelo Ancelmo - Participant Member - Martijn Verburg

Werner Keil - Committer Member

Scott (Congquan) Wang - Primeton - Enterprise Member

Eclipse Foundation: **Wayne Beaton, Tanja Obradovic, Paul Buck** (chair) References: <u>JESP</u>, Spec Committee <u>page</u> including approved meeting minutes

Past business / action items:

Approval is requested for the meeting minutes from the January 13th meeting as drafted
 Approved.

Agenda:

- Ongoing tracking <u>spreadsheet</u> of individual specs progress through the JESP
- Jakarta EE 9.1 Platform Spec, Plan Review update [Kevin]
 - https://github.com/eclipse-ee4j/jakartaee-platform/pull/299
 Public ballot of the 9.1 release plan to follow Steering Committee's endorsement to proceed to that step
- Review and discuss Jakarta EE 9 Specification Committee EE 9 Retrospective
 The in-progress retrospective document was sent to the jakartaee-spec-project-leads and jakarta.ee-spec mailing lists for additional community input
- Establish plan to provide the Specification Committee's input for the Simplify Release
 Process objective in the <u>Jakarta EE Program Plan Quarterly Progress</u>
 - O See slides 5 & 6
 - For Q1 this item was added Infra required for concurrent testing Java 8 and Java 11 support starting w/ EE 9.1. See draft proposal from Scott Marlow is here. The committee will review the retrospective and identify items for Q2, Q3 and Q4.
- Continue working on the Release Review requirement for a Service (Patch) Release
 - O PR for the updated JESP https://github.com/jakartaee/jakarta.ee/pull/1050

- PR to Modify ops guide for a Service Release https://github.com/jakartaee/jakarta.ee/pull/1047
- O Issue created against the EFSP https://github.com/EclipseFdn/EFSP/issues/29
- Refine the definition of "significant" in the EFSP to be "No functionality changes whatsoever to the specification" in the JESP. Consider applying the condition to just the specification document.
- Is a ballot always required? The Specification Committee acts in its best judgement whether a ballot is needed.

Changes to the EFSP regarding Service Release will be contemplated in the next rev of the process.

- Open issues to the EFSP as required
- Wayne to investigate leveraging an "errata" approach to handling no-functionality-changes
- Note: Call on February 10th is at 2:00PM UTC / 6:00AM PD / 9:00AM ET / 3:00PM CET / 10:00PM CT / 11:00PM JT
 - O Calls now alternate every two weeks at 17:00 and 14:00 UTC