Spec Committee Meeting Minutes Dec 19th, 2018

Attendees (present in bold):
Kenji Kazumura - Fujitsu, Michael DeNicola
Dan Bandera - IBM, Kevin Sutter, Alasdair Nottingham
Bill Shannon - Oracle, Ed Bratt, Dmitry Kornilov
Steve Millidge - Payara, Arjan Tijms
Scott Stark - Red Hat, Mark Little
David Blevins - Tomitribe, Richard Monson-Haefel
Ivar Grimstad - PMC Representative
Alex Theedom - Participant Member
Werner Keil - Committer Member

- Past business / action items
  - Meeting min Dec 12th - approved for publishing
  - Kevin Sutter moved, Bill Shannon second, no objections
- Extending meeting invite to 2019Q1
  - Keeping the same time slot
  - Extend to weekly for now, and decide end of Jan for later
- Adopting the "JESP" when referring to Jakarta EE Specification Process
  - As Doodle poll presented JESP is by far the favorite choice and we will go with that
- Since Scope (and staying within the Scope) is considered an important aspect of the specification process, we need well-defined Scopes. The Scope (and descriptions) for the Specifications are not well-defined.
  - Examples
    - Servlet 4.0 Specification
      - JSR#369
      - Github Servlet Spec
    - JAX RS 2.1
      - JSR #370
      - Github JAX-RS API
    - JSPs (should this be Jakarta EE Server Pages? The naming to be discussed on the next call)
      - JSR #372
      - Github JSP
    - Java Batch (should this be Jakarta EE Batch?)
      - JSR #352
      - Github Java Batch
      - EF will open an issue for each of the projects
        - Scope is version independent
        - The scope will typically be a few sentences long
- TCK process - as David Blevins is not present this was not discussed, Kevin briefly presented TCK for MicroProfile; topic will be discussed at the next call
  - Refer to email “Understanding the Current Specification Process” and Understanding Java EE TCK Process
Understanding MicroProfile TCK Process - Kevin Sutter, please review for discussion in the New Year

- Completion of the TCK process no later than Jan 30th 2019

- The topic of distribution of TCK via Maven Central was discussed
  - Compiled ZIP files can also be distributed via EF downloads server.
  - Some of these TCKs are quite large and are produced several times a year, so Sonatype may not be happy with us.
  - May consider using EF hosted Nexus instance
  - Do we need consumers to explicitly agree to any terms to get access to the TCK for a Ratified Final Specification? (e.g. some sort of click through)
    - “We gotta have an audit trail” - Dan Bandera

- Jakarta EE road map - on hold till all agreements are in place
- Java EE Specification Documents contribution from Oracle - this is still being discussed, however for now the answer is NO
  - No news are expected in next little while
  - Bill Shannon reported that the best case scenario is $1$ specs will be contributed and $1$ will not be (EJB and Servlet will not be contributed); criteria for deciding what specification can be contributed is not clear yet

- Jakarta EE Spec process and Jakarta EE NoSQL project
  - based on EFSP v1.0, but not necessarily the same
    - Example: Jakarta EE Specification Process v1.0
  - We will use Jakarta EE NoSQL to test EF Spec Process and do customization if necessary to create Jakarta EE Spec Process. By going through the process with Jakarta EE NoSQL, we will capture notes and evolve a draft of the Jakarta EE Specification Process.
  - Jakarta EE NoSQL provisioning is next step; we are waiting on:
    - Board approval of the new IP policy (DONE)
    - Agreements need to be in place (MCCA and WGPA) for project lead/primary committer (Tomitribe)
    - Agreements for other committers (being pursued by the EF Membership Team via separate channels).
    - Werner - no update on Jakarta EE NoSQL, as we’re waiting on agreements to be signed
  - JCP maintenance review - please refer to Maintenance Reviews email thread.
  - “Dials and knobs” that details how a Working Group might extend the EFSP, link to share.

- Jakarta EE Working Group calls - next call Jan 30th
  - Agenda