Spec Committee Meeting Minutes August 8, 2018

Attendees (present in bold):

Kenji Kazumura - Fujitsu, Michael DeNicola

Dan Bandera - IBM, Kevin Sutter

Bill Shannon - Oracle, Ed Bratt, Dmitry Kornilov

Steve Millidge - <u>Payara</u>, Arjan Tijms Scott Stark - <u>Red Hat</u>, Mark Little

David Blevins - Tomitribe, Richard Monson-Haefel

Ivar Grimstad - PMC Representative
Alex Theedom - Participant Member
Werner Keil - Committer Member

Mike Milinkovich - Eclipse Foundation Paul White, Wayne Beaton, Tanja Obradovic

Actions marked in red with names of individuals or companies

Goals for this call

Approval of the past mtg min
Review actions from the previous call

Requirements and Goals marked final

Discussion on draft on TCK process document

Review of the actions from the previous calls / Agenda

Approval of the past mtg min June 28th, July 12th, July 18th and July 25th left for the call next week Issues with invites and Google doc for mtg agenda- Tanja to look into

All participants please review and be ready to approve on the next call

<u>Fujitsu</u>

IBM

Oracle +1

Payara +1

Red Hat +1

Tomitribe +1

PMC +1

Participant Member +1

Committer Member

Note: once meeting minutes are approved we'll publish them at https://jakarta.ee/meeting_minutes as pdf files (for each call, separately dated). This file in going to be our working document for the agenda and meeting notes of a current call as well as meeting minutes that need approval.

Slack workspace for spec committee - NOT needed

Can we confirm? Other app servers, beside GlassFish can we expect on Jakarta EE 8 (assuming all goes well with Oracle legal approvals)

- Wildfly- yes
- IBM Open Liberty- yes

- Fujitsu- yes
- Tomitribe Apache TomEE (Apache project)- yes
- Weblogic yes
- Payara yes

IP Advisory and Spec Committee call for questions on compatibility / innovation - the second call is postponed for the time being

- IP Advisory guestions Mike's email and Richard Monson-Haefel's
- Patent Policy document part of IP Advisory discussion

Can we confirm this? Can we have new Jakarta EE spec docs created this way: reference old Java EE spec + additional new specs updates - need definitive answer from Oracle (primarily, but also) + IBM + Red Hat + Ivar

- As temporary solution we can maybe start by referencing existing Java EE spec (is this valid even?), but long term we need our own Jakarta EE spec.Concern with this approach is licensing is still an issue and hearing from Oracle legal is necessary.
- The committee expressed great concern over lack of progress from Oracle legal again.
- Rewriting specs seems to be the only option right now, which is not favorable due to amount of time this will take
- The **best option** is to have the specs transferred to Eclipse Foundation and work from there with Eclipse Foundation licensing model (this requires Oracle legal approvals)
- The above does not impact Green field specifications brand new specifications owned by Eclipse Foundation in new namespace that will be part of the Jakarta EE and ee4j project.

OASIS Open Project

OASIS Open Project Rules

https://www.oasis-open.org/policies-guidelines/open-projects-process

• "who can do what" matrix for open projects is in a different OASIS document. You can find it in section 1.3.2 of

https://www.oasis-open.org/policies-guidelines/oasis-committee-operations-process-2018-05-22

OASIS Open Projects website

https://oasis-open-projects.org/

TCK (Technology Compatibility Kit) process, we'll continue reviewing/discussing on the next call also

- TCK process document Richard Monson-Haefel
- Please review and comment, discussion on the next week
- Final version second half of August

<u>Eclipse Foundation Specification Process Requirements and Goals</u> document is final and will be used for producing the Eclipse Foundation Specification Process document

Eclipse Foundation Specification Process document

Tanja / Wayne working on the draft document - aim August 15th

Namespace discussion

• David Blevins to document and invite others to provide feedback.

List of documents that need to be written

- Revisions to existing documents
 - Eclipse Contributor Agreement
 - o Individual Committer Agreement
 - Member Committer Agreement
 - Terms of Use
 - Eclipse Development Process [*]
 - Eclipse Foundation Intellectual Property Policy [*]
- New Documents or Agreements In Process
 - o TCK License + Java EE Trademark Agreement
 - Java Trademark License (right to use javax, etc.)
 - License to Existing Specifications
 - Jakarta EE Participation Agreement
 - Eclipse Specification License [*]
 - Eclipse TCK License [*]
 - Jakarta EE Trademark License [*]
 - [*] Eclipse Foundation Board approval required