Minutes of the September 22, 2020 Jakarta EE Steering Committee Meeting

Please refer to your meeting invitation for the zoom password.

Attendees:

Fujitsu: Not present

IBM: Dan Bandera, Kevin Sutter, Neil Patterson Oracle: Will Lyons, Ed Bratt, Dmitry Kornilov

Payara: **Not present** Red Hat: **Mark Little**

Tomitribe: **David Blevins, Jonathan Gallimore**Enterprise Member representative: **Not present**Participant member representative: **Martijn Verburg**Committer member representative: **Arjan Tjims**

(Quorum is 5 -- simple-majority or one-half of the members (if even number) must be present)

Eclipse: Shabnam Mayel, Ivar Grimstad, Paul White, Paul Buck

Review of Minutes from Prior Meetings

Minutes of the September 8 meeting were approved.

Minutes of the September 15 meeting will be reviewed next time.

Jakarta EE 9 Spec Project Status

- Spec status summary
 - https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1YTUpfdLZZrk2_UGwoX2w0seOCueR O3sQJIjWxpDAa7g/edit#gid=0
- Spec project board (new column for links to TCK and GF board)
 - https://github.com/orgs/eclipse-ee4j/projects/17
- TCK issues
 - https://github.com/eclipse-ee4i/jakartaee-tck/issues
- Schedule
 - https://eclipse-ee4j.github.io/jakartaee-platform/jakartaee9/JakartaEE9#jakarta-e
 e-9-schedule
- Specs open for ballot
 - https://github.com/jakartaee/specifications/labels/ballot
- Sept 15 status
 - Livestream on Dec 8

- We will not change this date
- Marketing and press activity would target this date
- GA target for Nov 20
 - All Specs in ballot NLT Nov 6
 - Earlier completion is acceptable
- Spec status
 - https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1YTUpfdLZZrk2_UGwoX2w0seO CueRO3sQJIjWxpDAa7q/edit#gid=0
 - 7 specs are done (up from 3 last week)
 - 5 specs are in ballot (2 moved into ballot last week)
 - 8 specs are close (2 moved into "close" state last week)
 - 15 are not close yet
 - 3 Specs do not have PRs yet mentors are pursuing
- Sept 22 status
 - Spec status
 - https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1YTUpfdLZZrk2_UGwoX2w0seO CueRO3sQJIjWxpDAa7q/edit#gid=0
 - 10 specs are done (up from 7 from last week)
 - 2 specs are in ballot (0 moved into ballot from last week)
 - 9 specs are close (1 moved into "close" state from last week)
 - 16 are not close yet (2 specs have newly created PRs from last week)
 - 1 Specs do not have PRs yet mentors pursuing, KWS bumped epic issues
 - The Spec Committee will provide a summary status next week, highlighting any actions and support required by Steering Committee

Eclipse GlassFish 6.0 Status - Steve Millidge/Eliot Martin (not discussed)

- Project board for GlassFish https://github.com/eclipse-ee4j/glassfish/projects/1
- TCK issues:

https://github.com/eclipse-ee4j/glassfish/issues?q=is%3Aissue+is%3Aopen+label%3Aee 9-tck

- Sept 15 Update
 - Failures went up, though we know why (JPA, EJB, Mojarra)
 - Working through the issues
- No update in meeting

Tooling

- David provided a first draft of the plan ready for discussion
 - https://docs.google.com/document/d/1sZzOTOAxiGnwQ154V4iteMxQ6nm9tJ5cB NE7PgwP44c/edit#
- Datasheet complete:

- https://jakarta.ee/resources/JakartaEE-Datasheet-July172020 final.pdf
- Ownership for tooling vendors being tracked below:
 - https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1uYyX43aNIQgZfjuSeBjr4LGCEH4sBilH qKGSOWrcEuc/edit?urp=gmail_link#gid=1810653774_
- Request help identifying contacts
- No update

Marketing Committee Update and Jakarta EE Update Calls

- JakartaOne Livestream 2020 (virtual)
 - Scheduled December 8th
 - CFP open to Oct 1
 - Jakarta EE 9 Full Release Marketing Plan Sheet
 - Planning spreadsheet <u>available here</u>
 - Technical WP plans <u>available here</u>
- Compatible Products Page (update-requested by the Specification Committee (EF))
 - V1 approved
 - Link: https://deploy-preview-872--jakartaee.netlify.app/compatibility/
 - Logo would be linked to either product page or download page
 - Versions link would be to TCK results
 - New button Compatibility Requests link to Github issues
 - Need compatible product vendors to add the compatibility logo on the page for the TCK results associated with the downloadable software version that is compatible.

Jakarta EE Collateral Repository

- Separate working call for graphics/image guidelines next week
- Need to get repository and permissions set up for contributors and committers to support the workflow
- Looking at promoting the new repository and process when ready
- Moving issues related to Marketing from jakarta.ee and JakartaOne repos to Collateral repo:
 - https://github.com/jakartaee/jakartaone.org/issues/created by/aeiras
 - https://github.com/jakartaee/jakarta.ee/issues/created_by/aeiras

J4K conference - Oct 13-14

- Virtual Community Booth for members to sign up
- Will have 30 mins public Steering Committee meeting as part of the conference on Oct 13 (same time slot)
- Will have the October Update Call on October 14th from virtual booth
- 2020 JakartaOne Livestream Events Doc
 - Events
 - Livestream
 - Spanish in planning
 - China in planning
 - Turkey under consideration

- See vendor signup: https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1jkvN2BxqJHvnknpMbEZj_zmCa oxMIRjzhXHO7Gn-LLE/edit#gid=0
- Why Jakarta EE?
 - Marketing committee will build a "Why Jakarta EE" messaging/topic page positioning value proposition in a consumable manner
 - Jakarta EE Roadmap would also be covered as an offshoot of this
 - Need to have a visually appealing view into directions
 - Design from marketing committee while content comes through other committees
- Jakarta EE Update Calls
 - October call will be held during J4K.io (in virtual booth)
 - https://docs.google.com/document/d/1U81TZ2F_nhg6WxoE1VnpUUEQ09r8SX WpaN3hf3wiTWQ/edit

Translation Help

• See mail from Tanja - Translating jakarta.ee into Chinese

Jakarta EE Advocate feedback on JetBrains webinar - Ivar Grimstad

- Background
 - Slide deck
 - We have feedback from the <u>Jakarta EE Ambassadors on content</u>
 - We have community voices doc David collected in the past
 - Current <u>technical direction on jakarta.ee</u>
 - Ivar is asking for higher level "release" direction vs. "technical" direction on individual specs:
 - Release cadence
 - LTS model
 - Number of profiles
 - Ability for component specs to release independently
 - There is a history in this community of leaders establishing release direction need an overall narrative
 - Dan suggested we could indicate items/themes we would consider for future releases
 - We need to align this cadence with what could be absorbed by vendors and their customers
 - It was noted that for past EE 6 releases we conducted surveys
 - o Such surveys could include requests for feedback on release cadence, etc
 - Ivar agreed to guide us through a structured discussions on this topic over the next several weeks - Ivar will come back next week
- Update
 - There is now a standing EE 10 agenda item on the Tuesday Platform Team calls following the EE9 discussion
 - The Platform project will provide architectural leadership for EE 10

- Will discuss splitting up the TCK on an ongoing basis on Friday meetings
- Will look for another update from Ivar next week

Reply to Ambassadors

- See email from Will
- The group provided feedback that will be incorporated to mail being sent out today

CN4J Alliance, Jakarta EE and MicroProfile Collaboration and Funding Proposal

- The following scenario has been discussed:
 - Jakarta EE WG Strategic members joining the Microprofile Working Group
 - The creation of a Cloud Native for Java (CN4J) Alliance between the WGs
 - Potential "reallocation" of Jakarta EE WG strategic member fees in CY2021 to the MP WG by approximately \$25K
- From the Eclipse Foundation perspective, if all Strategic members joined the MP WG and agreed to a reallocation, then this is something the EF could work towards or consider
 - This would require a change to the Jakarta EE WG charter document and fees
- Oracle has indicated joining the MP WG depends on resolution of the ballot review period which has proceeded in the MicroProfile community. The status of this is as follows:
 - This has been discussed in the MP community email alias
 - o This will be discussed in today's MP WG hangout
 - Oracle would be OK moving forward, pending direction to closure on ballot period
- The other members present were OK with the direction being discussed (no other members present in the meeting expressed concerns)
 - Will agreed to contact Fujitsu and Payara specifically to obtain their feedback on this
- There is consensus that the broader community, included non-strategic members, must also be consulted on this topic when we have a proposal
- In our next Jakarta EE WG meeting, we should review the proposal of record of this topic (originally drafted by Scott Stark)
 - The Eclipse Foundation can be ready to discuss this based on Steering Committee next week if requested
- Proceeding with the proposal would also be dependent on clarifying the structure of the CN4J Alliance
 - We will also review the status of the CN4J Alliance next week

Jakarta EE 2020 Operational Plan (not discussed)

- Review Q3 actual vs. plan:
 - 2020 Jakarta EE Program Plan by quarter