From: will.lyons@oracle.com

Subject: [jakarta.ee-wg] Minutes of Jakarta EE Working Group Steering Committee Meeting on May 8, 2018

Date: May 22, 2018 at 3:01 PM

To: jakarta.ee-wg@eclipse.org

The following meeting minutes were approved at the 5/15 meeting of the Jakarta EE Steering Committee.

Forwarded Message -------Subject:Draft Minutes of Jakarta EE Working Group Steering Committee Meeting on May 8, 2018 Date:Thu, 10 May 2018 21:58:36 -0400 From:will.lyons@oracle.com Organization:Oracle Corporation To:jakarta.ee-steering@eclipse.org

Draft Minutes of Jakarta EE Working Group Steering Committee Meeting on May 8, 2018

Meeting at 12:30 PM EDT

https://eclipse.zoom.us/j/140846579

1) Attendees/roll call of Steering Committee members:

Steering Committee members: Dan Bandera - IBM Will Lyons - Oracle Steve Millidge - Payara Mike D - Fujitsu Mark Little - Red Hat David Blevins - Tomitribe

Eclipse Foundation: Mike Milinkovich - Eclipse Foundation

2) Review of minutes from prior meeting

Minutes of the 4/24 meeting were approved. Minutes of the 5/1 meeting were approved.

3) Marketing Update

Reviewed the draft of the Marketing Committee 5/3 meeting minutes:

The following were the most important updates:

- Intending to come up with a Marketing Plan with cost estimates for the steering committee to hopefully work on a budget/modifications/input into what we can do.
- · Looking for a volunteer to draft a vision/mission for the marekting committee itself.
- Will be creating an events spreadsheet.
- · Will be creating a messaging plan.

The next Eclipse newsletter is focused on Jakarta EE and will be published towards end of May.

Mark Little of Red Hat informed the group that he did a DevNation Live webinar last week about Jakarta EE. There were 1000 registered attendees and 700 turned up. Lots of interest and lots of good comments.

4) Spec Committee update

The first meeting of the Spec Committee was held. The Spec Committee will work (first) on requirements for the spec process and will focus on the spec process vs, the "roadmap".

There have been very active community discussions on spec related topics (e.g. profiles).

The Steering Committee reviewed a request for guidance from Bill Shannon on three options for versioning of Jakarta EE:

i. Continue the Java EE version numbering approach with Jakarta EE X being based on Java SE X, for all values of X. With the new rapid release cycles of Java SE, that might mean skipping some version numbers to allow Jakarta EE to stay in sync with Java SE.

- ii. Start with Jakarta EE 8 and increment the version number for each release, making a separate and explicit decision as to what version of Java SE to base each release on.
- iii. Start over with Jakarta EE 1, incrementing the version number for each release.

The Steering Committee's guidance is that we will pursue option ii. The first version of Jakarta EE will be Jakarta EE 8. We will increment the version number for each release, making a separate and explicit decision as to what version of Java SE to base each release on.

The Steering Committee reviewed the following sequence of activities leading up to Eclipse GlassFish Jakarta EE 8 certification:

- i) Ship Eclipse GlassFish Java EE 8 certified
- Requires source and TCK contributions
- TCK license

ii) In parallel, build the spec process (mid-summer)

- Requires agreement to use the javax namespace and Java names in the specs
- Requires agreement on approach for licensing specifications

Mike Milinkovich suggested a target date of Sept 15 for a defined Jakarta EE specification process. The gating factor to this is likely to be obtaining Legal agreements.

Creation of the Jakarta EE 8 specs (exactly compatible with Java EE 8) may require an additional 30 days after completion of the spec process. Would like to release Eclipse GlassFish as Jakarte EE certified by EclipseCon/Code One. This creates date pressure on Sept 15. David Blevins requested guidance from the legal process and the Spec Committee as soon as possible for what would be required to create a Jakarta EE 8 spec.

We will review this schedule next week.

We will look at scheduling a review of Bill's prior document on the Java Trademark Agreement.

5) Technical Vision update

A technical direction document has been started: <u>https://docs.google.com/document/d/1-HL8KPW4RgRW1TwV_fduHHQ-SPM5nTWysSqebcJHOhk/edit</u>

The plan is to supplement this document with plans from individual projects. Expect to review a template for such project plans in the next PMC meeting in two weeks.

6) Status of Oracle contributions

https://www.eclipse.org/ee4j/status.php

(No change in this report from last meeting)
34 projects at or past project review (unchanged from last week)
20 projects with committers and resources provisioned (unchanged from last week)
15 projects with initial contributions provided (unchanged from last week)
13 projects with initial contributions pushed to Git (unchanged from last week)

Wayne provided an update on project creation review. A significant number of projects will be advanced to the

"committers and resources provisioned" state by next Wednesday.

Update on batch 4 proposals from Dmitry (for the PMC meeting). Dmitry created the following projects for submission for creation review:

Eclipse Project for JPA Eclipse ORB Eclipse implementation of JAXB Eclipse Metro Eclipse Jakarta EE Platform

These projects are ready for community review this week (waiting on go ahead from Dmitry).

There are a number of projects referenced in last meeting's minutes which will likely require non-default licensing. Oracle is not yet ready to make the request for approval for this non-default licensing from the Eclipse BOD as of May 8. The next BOD meeting is June 11, so approval must wait until then.

7) Recruitment of Enterprise members and Elections

Election update information will be sent out Thursday.

The following proposal from Paul White was reviewed:

The Foundation is proposing to change the name of the "Influencer" Member class of membership to "Enterprise" Member. We'd like to add this to the agenda for the steering committee.

As background for all, we chose the Influencer name as a means to better reflect the role we believe the Enterprise members would hold. In hindsight, while the name is more accurate, it has added unnecessary confusion when explaining memberships to Enterprises. In short, it's just not worth the distraction.

There are still no members of this class of membership in the working group, so there is no impact to the existing members.

This change requires a change to the working group charter, which requires approval by the steering committee. I've attached a draft with the proposed changes.

Once approved by the steering committee, the steering committee then asks the Eclipse Foundation Executive Director for final approval.

The proposal above is specifically intended to change the name used in the Working Group. This proposal was approved by the Steering Committee.

Paul also distributed a new deck and flyer for member recruitment.

8) Conferences

EclipseCon Europe From Mike: Please talk to Paul about your interest in sponsorship, speaking, and participation in ECE 2018.

Note: CFP going out May 15. When the CFP goes live, early submissions would be helpful.

9) Legal Documents

The Oracle team is working internally to move the process forward, focusing on Java EE TCK, Trademark Agreement, and Specification related issues.

jakarta.ee-wg@eclipse.org

jakarta.ee-wg mailing list

To change your delivery options, retrieve your password, or unsubscribe from this list, visit https://dev.eclipse.org/mailman/listinfo/jakarta.ee-wg