Minutes of the January 10, 2023 Jakarta EE Steering Committee Meeting

Please refer to your meeting invitation for the zoom password.

Attendees:

Fujitsu: Kenji Kazumuara IBM: Ian Robinson, Neil Patterson, Emily Jiang, Alasdair Nottingham Oracle: Will Lyons, Dmitry Kornilov Payara: Steve Millidge Tomitribe: Cesar Hernandez Enterprise Member representative (Primeton): not present Enterprise Member Representative (Microsoft): Reza Rahman (had to leave early) Participant member representative (LJC): Marcin Kruglik

We have quorum.

Eclipse: Tanja Obradovic, Paul Buck, Ivar Grimstad, Shabnam Mayel

Happy New Year!

Ian Robinson noted, as he indicated separately in mail, that going forward Alasdair Nottingham will be the primary Steering Committee member for IBM.

Thank you lan for your contributions to the work of this Steering Committee.

Review of Minutes from Prior Meetings.

The <u>Draft Minutes of the November 29, 2022 Jakarta EE Steering Committee Meeting</u> were approved.

The <u>Draft Minutes of the December 13, 2022 Jakarta EE Steering Committee Meeting</u> will be reviewed next time.

Reminder of Meeting Schedule

• We will resume a bi-weekly meeting cadence on January 17, 2023

Jakarta EE track at DevNexus

5 talks already selected for early acceptance by the Marketing Committee (for promotion)

Title	Speaker(s)
Jakarta EE or Spring? Real world testimonies	Jose DiazPERU JUG Jorge CajasManager at MangoChango
Applied Domain-Driven Design Blueprints for Jakarta EE	Reza RahmanPrincipal Program Manager, Java on Azure at Microsoft
Jakarta EE for Spring Developers	Ivar GrimstadJakarta EE Developer Advocate
Deep Dive MicroProfile 6.0 with Jakarta EE 10 Core Profile	Emily JiangIBM, Cloud Native Architect and Chief Advocate for Liberty
Jakarta EE and MicroProfile Highlights	Josh JuneauJakarta EE Guru Edwin DerksPrincipal Consultant @ Team Rockstars IT

- Status on the 5 additional talks:
 - The following will be reviewed at Marketing Committee this week.

Let's take a look at how a Jakarta EE cloud-native application should look!	Fabio TurizoService Manager at Payara Services Ltd.
Upgrading a Legacy Java EE App with Style	Kito MannPrincipal Consultant, Virtua, Inc.
From javax to jakarta, the path paved with pitfalls	Jean-Louis MonteiroDirector of Engineering
Jakarta Data - Standardized Data Access for SQL and NoSQL Databases	Werner KeilDirector, CATMedia
Jakarta EE integration testing	Rudy De BusscherDeveloper Advocate

- Promotion support
 - We need help from members marketing and sales teams to invite their users as attendees
 - Social kit and timing will be created for member promotion will also be reviewed at Marketing Committee this week

Spec Translations question

- Email thread started by Eric of Primeton (mengqy@primeton.com)
- Spec translation question:
 - Eric: Do we have the authority to translate specs of Jakarta EE (<u>Jakarta EE</u> <u>Platform</u>, etc.) and publish that on the website in China?
 - Tanja: The Specification Committee has discussed this at some point and decided that maybe it is best not to do translations, BUT I would like to raise this to the Specification Committee again and give you their answer later next week.
- The Eclipse Foundation is checking on specification licensing implications and attempting to define the objectives of the request. This research will be reviewed at Spec Committee.

Jakarta EE 11 Narrative

- The current status of the Narrative around Jakarta EE 11 <u>https://docs.google.com/presentation/d/1rugEgECY-ghIIbYIsBtIDb0CTk4aeOFG/</u> edit?usp=sharing&ouid=102001624522612058111&rtpof=true&sd=true
- See the Steering Committee meeting minutes for December 13, 2022 for the outcome of the discussion at our last meeting.
- Discussion in today's meeting:
 - Steve reviewed his slides for the group:
 - https://docs.google.com/presentation/d/1rugEgECY-ghIIbYIsBtIDb0CTk4a eOFG/edit?usp=sharing&ouid=102001624522612058111&rtpof=true&sd= true
 - The three primary decision points on the Jakarta EE 11 direction were as follows:
 - Target Java version (17 or 21)
 - Target GA date (depends on Java version)
 - Priorities (depends on Java version)
 - We discussed the three primary decision points as a group with a goal of obtaining overall consensus through discussion. Member input was as follows:

Microsoft

- Microsoft's position is to go with Q1 2024 and Java SE 21.
- The overall direction looks good.
- We would like to encourage more independent specification releases if viable.
- We look forward to contributing towards Jakarta EE 11!

IBM

- Java SE 21
- Q1 2024
- Based on the above, we would be on the latest Java version, which eliminates the open issue IBM had raised earlier
- Prefer we defer the decision to be on Jakarta EE 11 specifically and not a cadence

Payara

- Java SE 21
- Q1 2024
- Based on the above, we would be on the latest Java version, which eliminates the open issue from IBM perspective
- OK to defer the decision to be on Jakarta EE 11 specifically and not a cadence

Oracle

• Java SE 21

- Q1/Q4 2024
- Based on the above, we would be on the latest Java version, which eliminates the open issue from IBM perspective
- OK to defer the decision to be on Jakarta EE 11 specifically and not a cadence

LJC

- Java SE 21
- Reached community on this and the question of how often to release. There was a consensus on a 2 year release cadence, preferably some time after the GA date of the Java LTS release.
- That would imply a Q1 2024 release (perhaps later).

Tomitribe

- Java SE 17
- Q1 2024
- Release cadence Java SE LTS + six months (Cesar is aware this conflict with Jakarta EE 11 specific input)

Fujitsu

- Java SE 21
- Q1 2024
- Ok with deck priorities
- Based on the consensus of member inputs above, Oracle proposed the following resolution which was seconded.
 - "Resolved, the Steering Committee recommends that the Jakarta EE Working Group begin planning for the next Jakarta EE 11 release as proposed in the Jakarta EE 11 Narrative Presentation, with the following high level guidelines:
 - Target Java version 21
 - Target GA date Q1 2024
 - Priorities
 - Unified APIs improving Developer Experience
 - New Specifications
 - Build on the Latest Java
 - Enable Community Contribution

These guidelines are provided to encourage a common community direction for Jakarta EE 11."

• The vote on the resolution was as follows:

Fujitsu: +1 IBM: +1 Oracle: +1 Payara: +1 Tomitribe: +1 Enterprise Member representative (Primeton): not present Enterprise Member Representative (Microsoft): not present Participant member representative (LJC): +1

The resolution was adopted.

- Next steps
 - Marketing Committee to create marketing message for the Jakarta EE 11 direction - Ed will take this back to the Marketing Committee
 - Include encouragement to individual spec teams to proceed with spec evolution
 - Communicate the resolution to the Platform Team (Ivar will take back) and to the Spec Committee (Paul will take back)
 - It was noted in the meeting agenda to request a high level plan, schedule or structure for major Jakarta EE 11 release activities, though this was not explicitly discussed during the meeting (my mistake). If this requires further discussion, let's address this next meeting.

Objectives Check-in

- Recap from last time
 - Slides:

https://docs.google.com/presentation/d/1JH_ONPYmsQxNgN-ta4Yc6AP7i3Ez1H q1gP8PX2zbCeo/edit#slide=id.g112ac509088_0_0

- Spreadsheet (for reference, not filled in for Q4) <u>https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1bDR8a1gj0BwC9xmbnpdz2NZOU6jq5</u> p8JswQSaISuZCE/edit#gid=458198581
- Tanja will prepare the Q4 report for the January 17 meeting, and we will need to define Q1CY23 objectives.
 - Q4 2022 report Slides (work in process at this time): <u>https://docs.google.com/presentation/d/1-GTsG8bceyr5kSnn73tecq6-4rTTQ1VIs</u> <u>XhNt9LffXg/edit#slide=id.gd037bb6413_0_0</u>
 - Q1CY23 (2023 quarterly objectives) Slides (work in process at this time): <u>https://docs.google.com/presentation/d/1QQL-mlohA-I5-3z2vcVL8tkiBEYX_LPSB</u> <u>muwy77ALco/edit#slide=id.g1c9824dfc74_0_936</u>