Minutes of December 3 Jakarta EE Steering Committee Meeting

The Zoom ID is: <u>https://eclipse.zoom.us/j/499849869</u>

Attendees:

Fujitsu: Mike Denicola, Kenji Kazumura IBM: Dan Bandera, Kevin Sutter Oracle: Will Lyons, Bill Shannon, Ed Bratt, Dmitry Kornilov Payara: Steve Millidge Red Hat: Mark Little, Scott Stark Tomitribe: David Blevins Participant member representative: Martijn Verburg (not present) Committer member representative: Arjan Tijms

Eclipse: Paul White, Thabang Mashologu, Wayne Beaton, Ivar Grimstad, Tanja Obradovich, Shabnam Mayel

Review of Minutes from Prior Meeting

Meeting minutes of Nov 26 meeting will be reviewed next time.

Jakarta EE 2020 Plan and Budget

- The budget we approved last time has been distributed in PDF and is published here:
 - <u>https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1AtuS8IByxH2r5UInNmJtWYgBF6U8eU</u> n6j3k1335Arw0/edit#gid=737043859

Jakarta EE 8 Follow-Up

- Publishing spec docs review the following status doc
 - https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/18SraPxRBCOyaS6w-UV6TR-UA1bWy 1--sV0ky6msAjWY/edit?usp=sharing
 - Spec copyright assigned for 46% of spec documents.
 - Specs contributed for 46% of spec documents
 - No change from last week.
- Contributing GF 4.X Japanese documentation
 - Still working

Jakarta EE and MicroProfile

 MicroProfile team members are preparing a proposal for a WG for MicroProfile. Received good feedback from Mike, was planned for publishing to MicroProfile community this week for discussion. One of the proposals involves a combined Jakarta EE/MicroProfile Working Group. The intent is that if the combined proposal is recommended, that proposal would be brought to the Jakarta EE WG.

Jakarta EE 9

- Steering Committee requested delivery plan by December 9 [1]
- As of last week
 - Hoping for preliminary plan this week (minus date)
 - On track to propose on December 9
- The following strawman proposal spreadsheet was distributed by Kevin last week:
 - <u>https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1EN5UEzxFV1Buk7yqdQAweaynWJ3U</u> <u>Nn2BjN7blXn9vh4/edit#gid=0</u>
- Significant discussion earlier today, there are a couple of scope issues under discussion, delivering a release plan by the Dec 9 date is at risk, we will proceed with the discussion next week (Dec 10) may not have a date or complete plan next week.
- Draft Jakarta EE 9 Release Plan
 - <u>https://docs.google.com/document/d/18cwfYhEqv_msIdH1DSVDXJc-QaUJ6zjPg</u> mG6JHfLRrA/edit?usp=sharing

[1]Steering Committee Resolution from October 29

RESOLVED, the Jakarta EE Steering Committee requests that the Jakarta EE Platform Project leadership deliver a Jakarta EE 9 Delivery Plan to the Steering Committee no later than December 9, 2019, for the Steering Committee to consider adopting as the roadmap for Jakarta EE 9, and that the Jakarta EE 9 Delivery Plan accommodate the following constraints:

- Implements the "big bang"
- Includes an explicit means to identify and enable specifications that are unnecessary or unwanted to be deprecated or removed
- Moves all remaining specification apis to the Jakarta namespace
- States that no new specifications are to be added, apart from specifications pruned from Java SE 8 where appropriate, unless those specifications clearly will not impact the target delivery date

The plan shall define a delivery date, and the team should view meeting the above requirements in as early a timeframe as possible as a higher priority than adding additional functionality to the release.

The resolution is based on the following assumptions with respect to roles:

- 1. The Steering Committee owns the roadmap. As owner, it can define the requirements needed and delegate to the Platform Project. The Steering Committee is ultimately responsible for the delivery of Jakarta EE 9, which it delegates to the Platform Project.
- 2. The Platform Project owns development of its release plan. It also has to generate its Spec plan, as it is also a Specification Project. It also owns its delivery plan.
- 3. The Spec Committee approves spec release plans developed by Spec projects. Given the Platform Project is a Specification Project, the Platform Project's spec release plan needs to be approved.

Additional comments

• As noted last time, should reach out to tools teams (Eclipse, IntelliJ, WDT...) requesting support

Marketing Committee Update and Jakarta EE Update Calls

- Review 2020 Marketing plan distributed by Shabnam
 - Requested review of October operational results next Steering Committee meeting
 - https://docs.google.com/presentation/d/1hpz9q2qcyMNqWkHxWmjVJReyAOqn8f
 <u>FUFLjJkqJdfbQ/edit#slide=id.g6475e3fe34_0_169</u>
- Communication from Tanja (requested spread word)
 - <u>https://blogs.eclipse.org/post/tanja-obradovic/jakarta-ee-community-update-nove</u> <u>mber-2019</u>
- Jakarta EE Update Meeting please suggest other topics
 - Planned for December 11
 - <u>https://docs.google.com/document/d/1U81TZ2F_nhg6WxoE1VnpUUEQ09r8SX</u>
 <u>WpaN3hf3wiTWQ/edit</u>
 - Considering retrospective and marketing plan for Jan 11 meeting
- Jakarta EE Tech Talks Tanja is open for suggestions
 - <u>https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/19AfvCUdScUHwJejMYg370tum5mi7zl</u>
 <u>4bvkZczcQXiUM/edit#gid=0</u>

Jakarta EE Working Group and Committees

- Refining/reviewing ongoing roles of Steering Committee, Spec Committee, Marketing Committee, PMC, Platform Project
- The EMO has updated the document defining these roles discussed last time.

- <u>https://docs.google.com/document/d/1x3F6CwQp-u5Oc7s7UZSpPUIyuveLzCDu</u> <u>2HYo_sehDvs/edit?ts=5de161b4#heading=h.w7rsv84k27wz</u>
- The document looks good, and Tanja has endorsement to publish on the Jakarta EE web site.

Allowing Java User Group use of Jakarta EE

- The Steering Committee generally supports use of the Jakarta EE brand in this manner, has recommend some structured process around it.
- The Eclipse Foundation has drafted an Agreement which could be used with JUGs, not yet a program for operationalizing this.
- Similar question came up in the context of "Starter Project for Jakarta EE".
 - The request to the Steering Committee should then be to formulate some guidelines as to when it is ok to call a project "Jakarta EE <something>" and when does it have to be "<something> for Jakarta EE".
- Dan volunteered to meet with Wayne on this topic this week.

Jakarta EE 8 Retrospective

- I have summarized at the following location:
 - <u>https://docs.google.com/document/d/18qU5SmV7bccvVKr8D9Vz5S3kkIweY_os</u> <u>ElgsyKfqboA/edit</u>
 - We will publish the document as is (will work with Tanja).