Jakarta EE Spec Committee - September 3rd, 2025

Attendees (present in bold):

Kenji Kazumura - Fujitsu

Emily Jiang - IBM - **Tom Watson**

Ed Bratt - Oracle - **Dmitry Kornilov**

Andrew Pielage (chair) - Payara - Petr Aubrecht

David Blevins - Tomitribe - Jean-Louis Monteiro, **Cesar Hernandez**

Ivar Grimstad - PMC Representative

Marcelo Ancelmo - Participant Member - Abraham Marin-Perez

Werner Keil - Committer Member

Jun Qian - Primeton Information Technologies - Enterprise Member

Zhai Luchao - Shandong Cvicse Middleware Co. - Enterprise Member

Guest - Jakarta EE 12 co-release coordinators: **Jared Anderson**, **James Perkins**

Eclipse Foundation: Tanja Obradovic

Past business / action items:

- Approval is requested for the minutes from the August 6th, 2025 meeting as drafted - **Approved**
- Approval is requested for the minutes from the August 20th, 2025 meeting as drafted - **Approved**

Agenda:

- EE 11 Update [Ed Burns]
    - Retrospective update
        - [*Carry over from July 9th*]:
            - Retrospective was done on the platform call (issue link, document link)

                - Need to review retro link to figure out what may need action by spec committee vs others
    - Any remaining business on EE11
- Jakarta EE 12 Update [Jared Anderson]
    - Query advancing
- Jakarta EE Namespace
    - [*Carry over from July 23rd, August 6th, August 20th*]:
        - Discuss straw poll results/voting and actions
            - Do we run the straw poll again with only options 1 and 4?
            - Do we want to simply accept MicroProfile retaining its namespace for now, and finish defining the rules later?
            - Do we want to assign an investigative writeup of pros vs cons of defining it as a Jakarta working group wide rule (e.g. JESP rule) vs. delegating any namespace decision to the Platform team?
        - Impossible to predict the future: rules may restrict us
        - Spec Committee and Platform team have existing controls which can be leveraged without any additional namespace working group rules
            - A new "Jakarta MicroProfile" profile would require a creation/release review
        - Our concern is whether we need further controls or processes
        - How do we move forward?
            - Another vote?
            - Draft a resolution?
                - "Resolved; Jakarta EE Specification Committee welcomes the joining of MicroProfile. In joining the Jakarta EE Working Group, they must abide by the JESP and release procedures."
                - Vote held in call: proposed by Andrew Pielage, seconded by Emily Jiang
                    - No votes against.

- Request for chair cover next call: September 17th
    - Tom Watson will chair
- Ongoing tracking spreadsheet of specifications progressing through the JESP specification version lifecycle
    - May need to check with Project Management Committee on what to do on Jakarta Config and Jakarta RPC: due a progress review and are unresponsive
- Issue #55 - TCK Archive Format [Ed Bratt]
    - Check in on Ed Bratt's PR: *pending*
- Issue #83 - Clean up and clarify how to list TCK service releases on spec pages [Andrew Pielage]
    - Check on progress of pull requests
- Issue #74 - TCK challenge automatic acceptance - [Ed Bratt]
    - Check on progress of specifications
- Issue #58 - TCK challenge templates [Andrew Pielage]
    - Check on progress of pull requests
    - Andrew to poke the individual specifications
- Issue #82 - Consistent approach for TCK challenge exclusions [Ed Bratt]
    - Carry over from February 19th: TCK Process should be updated with something akin to Scott Starks suggestion.
- Review other open issues:
    - Determine which issues to label as "enhancement" and add to our board
    - Close issues which are no longer relevant or have been dealt with