## Jakarta EE Spec Committee - October 30th, 2024

Attendees (present in bold):

Kenji Kazumura - Fujitsu
Emily Jiang - IBM - Tom Watson - Jared Anderson
Ed Bratt - Oracle - Dmitry Kornilov
Andrew Pielage (chair) - Payara - Petr Aubrecht
David Blevins - Tomitribe - Jean-Louis Monteiro, Cesar Hernandez
Ivar Grimstad - PMC Representative
Marcelo Ancelmo - Participant Member - Abraham Marin-Perez
Werner Keil - Committer Member
Jun Qian - Primeton Information Technologies - Enterprise Member
Zhai Luchao - Shandong Cvicse Middleware Co. - Enterprise Member

Guest - Jakarta EE 11 co-release coordinator: Ed Burns

Eclipse Foundation: Tanja Obradovic, Paul Buck

Past business / action items:

• Approval is requested for the minutes from the October 16th, 2024 meeting as drafted - Approved

## Agenda:

- [10/16] Informational Call for interest and discussion on the <u>Jakarta Logging</u> project proposal from Christian Grobmeier posted to jakarta.ee-spec is <u>here</u> also see the email from the EMO posted to jakarta.ee-spec.committee
  - o Community review period concluded October 22nd, ready for Creation Review
    - Call for and assign a mentor if so
      - Ivar put himself forward as mentor
  - o <u>Tracking issue</u>
  - Some concerns on the specification do we discuss before or after the creation review?
    - The process would be that we simply start the ballot based on the project proposal as it stands

- Ongoing tracking <u>spreadsheet</u> of specifications progressing through the <u>JESP</u> specification version <u>lifecycle</u>
  - Check in on EE 11 Release Review ballots, see the spreadsheet for updates and notes.
    - Platform + Web & Core Profiles remain
      - Two CCRs in for Core Profile
    - Two blocker issues present for Web Profile and Platform
      - No blocker issues for Core Profile
    - Ballots will not be started by Nov 18th for JakartaOne
    - If Platform TCK Project does not have the following blockers resolved by 2024-11-06:
      - <u>25190</u>
      - <u>1624</u>
      - The ability to hit any goal in the preceding bullet point is jeopardized.
- Catch up on the Jakarta EE 11 Release Plan [Ed Burns]
  - EE 11 Prioritized backlog · Jakarta EE11 TCK Release (github.com) and Jakarta EE11 TCK Release board
    - Steering Committee update: <u>https://www.eclipse.org/lists/jakarta.ee-spec.committee/msg03540.html</u>
  - EE 12 An initial draft of the EE 12 milestones is here
    - Mailing list discussion here
- As the Platform Project begins the Jakarta EE 12 development cycle, they have requested (on jakarta.ee-spec.committee) for Progress Reviews for:
  - o Jakarta NoSQL
    - https://jakarta.ee/specifications/nosql/
    - <u>https://www.eclipse.org/lists/jakarta.ee-spec/msg02795.html</u> [Progress Review, 10.2022]
    - Pending
  - o Jakarta Config
    - https://jakarta.ee/specifications/config/
    - <u>https://www.eclipse.org/lists/jakarta.ee-spec/msg02838.html</u> [Plan Review, 01.2023]

- Issue for progress review created: <u>https://github.com/jakartaee/config/issues/277</u>
- o Jakarta RPC
  - https://jakarta.ee/specifications/rpc/
  - <u>https://www.eclipse.org/lists/jakarta.ee-spec/msg02129.html</u> [Creation Review, 01.2022]
  - Pending

[10/16] The mentors for these projects are requested to let these projects know that they are required to provide input for a Progress Review and in particular to confirm (or revise) their scope and delivery timeline.

- o Check that mentors are following up with the spec projects
- [10/16] Issue <u>#55</u> was discussed, a proposal was presented by Ed Bratt for consideration
  - Check in on Ed's document: link
  - o Check with EMO for any conflicts with other processes
    - Is it OK for projects to license TCKs as outlined in the document?
    - Ed Bratt to ask Wayne Beaton
  - Would we look at publishing service releases for EE10 or just have this as the process going forward?
    - Only apply moving forward
    - The existing EE10 zips could be pushed to Maven Central without recreating them to follow this process if it helps MicroProfile
  - Would we like to create a pom template for how a vendor can run the TCK?
    - Tackle as a separate issue, possibly after the release of EE11
  - We will discuss and potentially vote next call.
- Jakarta EE 11 release retrospective ongoing [All]
  - Review the <u>open issues</u>:
    - Determine which issues to label as "enhancement" and add to our board
    - Close issues which can are no longer relevant or have been dealt with
  - Feel free to open new issues <u>here</u> which we will get to after the release is done. Add the "enhancement" label.