Jakarta EE Spec Committee - November 16th, 2022

Attendees (present in bold):

Kenji Kazumura - Fujitsu
Tom Watson - IBM - Emily Jiang
Ed Bratt - Oracle - Dmitry Kornilov
Andrew Pielage - Payara - Petr Aubrecht
David Blevins - Tomitribe - Jean-Louis Monteiro, Cesar Hernandez
Ivar Grimstad - PMC Representative
Marcelo Ancelmo - Participant Member - Martijn Verburg
Werner Keil - Committer Member
Scott Stark  - Red Hat - Scott Marlow  Enterprise Member
Zhai Luchao  - Shandong Cvicse Middleware Co. - Enterprise Member

Eclipse Foundation: Tanja Obradovic, Paul Buck (chair)

Past business / action items:

- Approval is requested for the meeting minutes from the November 2nd, 2022 meeting as drafted - Approved.

Agenda:

- Ongoing tracking spreadsheet of individual specs progressing through the JESP
  - Inprogress - https://jakarta.ee/about/jesp/ being updated and Paul to send email to discussion mailing list to communicate the availability of JESP 1.4
- PR 1517 was merged, email to be sent to notify projects/leads and via the appropriate mailing lists [Scott S.]
- Approval of Jakarta Security Restructuring [Scott]
  - Merge the 3 security specification projects into one, see issue #392
    - https://gitlab.eclipse.org/eclipsefdn/emo-team/emo/-/issues/392
  - Specification Committee was asked if there were any objections to proceed w/ the merge as documented in issue #392
    - No objections were raised, this request is approved
- Work on and resolve Specification Committee’s process enhancements items including those identified in the Jakarta EE 10 retrospective:
  - Issues with the “enhancement” tag
    - https://github.com/jakartaee/specification-committee/issues
  - Enhancement issues in a project board
    - https://github.com/orgs/jakartaee/projects/1/views/1
Does the EFSP mandate that authoritative sources live within a specification project? That is source input that ends up producing the final specification content [Tom]
  ○ Concerned about the situation w/ MyFaces  
    https://github.com/jakartaeefaces/blob/354746532d8a325f7114c53ff33ce880aea4243/api/pom.xml#L313-L350
  ○ API JAR’s are provided as a convenience, they are not normative. The JavaDoc and signature tests are part of the spec and therefore normative.
  ○ Is it a requirement that the sources used to create normative artifacts required to be managed as part of the specification project’s sources?
  ○ Is there a situation where a committer may have merged an artifact from sources outside of the project that has provenance elsewhere?
  ○ Is there a situation where there is a committer on an open source project that is not a committer on the specification project, where that committer has contributed to an artifact that is normative?
  ○ Need to establish policy (if required) that apply to all specs

Proposal - Invite Wayne Beaton to the next meeting (30th) to explore this topic further.

- Register for JakartaOne Livestream Today! The registration link is here