

Jakarta EE Spec Committee - November 12th, 2025

Attendees (present in bold):

Kenji Kazumura - Fujitsu

Emily Jiang - IBM - Tom Watson

Ed Bratt - Oracle - Dmitry Kornilov

Andrew Pielage (chair) - Payara - Petr Aubrecht

David Blevins - Tomitribe - Jean-Louis Monteiro, Cesar Hernandez

Ivar Grimstad - PMC Representative

Arjan Tijms - Omnifish OU - Elected Participant Member Representative

Werner Keil - Committer Member

Jun Qian - Primeton Information Technologies - Enterprise Member

Zhai Luchao - Shandong Cvicse Middleware Co. - Enterprise Member

Guest - Jakarta EE 12 co-release coordinators: **Jared Anderson**, James Perkins

Eclipse Foundation: **Tanja Obradovic**

Past business / action items:

- Approval is requested for the minutes from the October 29th, 2025 meeting as drafted - *quorum not reached*

Agenda:

- [Jakarta EE 12 Update](#) [Jared Anderson]
 - [Dashboard](#)
 - M2 deadline: December 9th
 - Discussions continuing on read-only transactions
 - Run MicroProfile namespace ballot in Platform dev vs. spec committee mailing list

- Different voters: Platform committers vs. spec committee representatives
- Concerns mentioned about distribution of committer representatives in the Platform list (IBM majority)
- If run in Platform committers, would the spec committee still get the final say?
 - Yes: Spec committee has the power to vote against a release based on the content - we don't just ensure the process is followed
 - From the charter:
 - Define the specification process to be used by all Jakarta EE specifications, and refer to it for approval by the Steering Committee.
 - Ensure that all specification expert groups operate in an open, transparent, and vendor-neutral fashion in compliance with the specification process.
 - Approve specifications for adoption by the community.
 - **Approve profiles which define collections of specifications which meet a particular market requirement.**
 - Work with the Eclipse Enterprise for Java Project Management Committee (EE4J PMC) to ensure that the specification process is complied with by all specification projects.
- Due to lack of quorum, discussion to be continued on the mailing list, with a ballot to be started in the subsequent week.
- Ongoing tracking [spreadsheet](#) of specifications progressing through the [JESP](#) specification version [lifecycle](#)
 - Progress reviews for Jakarta Config and RPC still overdue.
 - Config approaching two years overdue
 - RPC approaching three years overdue
- Jakarta EE 11 Platform Retrospective

- Discuss action items, if any, which require the spec committee to follow up on
 - Still to do
- Issue [#55](#) - TCK Archive Format [Ed Bratt]
 - Check in on Ed Bratt's PR: *pending*
- Issue [#74](#) - TCK challenge automatic acceptance - [Ed Bratt]
 - Check on progress of specifications - set as a goal for M2
- Issue [#58](#) - TCK challenge templates [Andrew Pielage]
 - Check on progress of pull requests - set as a goal for M2
 - Carry over from previous call:
 - Another poke required
 - Some have conflicts
- Issue [#82](#) - Consistent approach for TCK challenge exclusions [Ed Bratt]
 - Carry over from February 19th: TCK Process should be updated with something akin to Scott Starks suggestion.
- Issue [#85](#) - Document Jenkins Maven Publication Jobs [Emily Jiang]
 - Specifications having wiki pages (or equivalent documentation) goal for M2
 - Carry over from previous call: Needs to be brought up in the Platform call and/or poke projects leads via email
- Issue [#86](#) - Simplify Compatibility Certification Requests [Ivar Grimstad]
 - Carry over from previous call
 - Example to be created
 - Ivar to reach out to understand the complaints
- Review other [open issues](#):
 - Determine which issues to label as “enhancement” and add to our [board](#)
 - Close issues which are no longer relevant or have been dealt with
- Due to thanksgiving, an email will be sent to the mailing list to propose a meeting on the 19th instead and cancelling the call on the 26th. Regular schedule to resume on December 10th.

- If you don't respond to the email, lazy-consensus will be assumed