

Jakarta EE Spec Committee - May 13th, 2026

Attendees (present in bold):

Kenji Kazumura - Fujitsu - Takahiro Nagao

Emily Jiang - IBM - Tom Watson

Dmitry Kornilov - Oracle, **Robert Patrick**

Andrew Pielage (chair) - Payara

David Blevins - Tomitribe - Jean-Louis Monteiro, Cesar Hernandez

Ivar Grimstad - PMC Representative

Arjan Tijms - Omnifish OU - Elected Participant Member Representative

Werner Keil - Committer Member

Jun Qian - Primeton Information Technologies - Enterprise Member

Zhai Luchao - Shandong Cvicse Middleware Co. - Enterprise Member

Guest - Jakarta EE 12 co-release coordinators: **Jared Anderson, James Perkins**

Eclipse Foundation: **Tanja Obradovic**

Past business / action items:

- Approval is requested for the minutes from the April 1st, 2026 meeting as drafted
- **Approved**

Agenda:

- [Jakarta EE 12 Update](#) [Jared Anderson]
 - [Dashboard](#)
 - M4 release this Friday
 - Not all Core Profile specifications ready for a milestone release
 - Other specifications also making milestone releases
- Ongoing tracking [spreadsheet](#) of specifications progressing through the [JESP](#) specification version [lifecycle](#)

- Check on progress of [Termination Review](#) for Jakarta Config
 - Two-week lazy consensus pre-termination review period started, ending 27th of May
- Check on progress of [Termination Review](#) for Jakarta RPC
 - Two-week lazy consensus pre-termination review period started, ending 27th of May
- Issue [#55](#) - TCK Archive Format
 - *Carry over from previous call: MicroProfile are asking for the TCK to not be in a ZIP*
 - *Review previous meeting minutes to determine what was agreed - we were waiting on a PR from Ed*
 - *Are the requirements still the same?*
 - Discuss where this got to and reassign owner (if appropriate)
 - MicroProfile to look at updating how they run the TCK: is a “download” module suitable (e.g. <https://github.com/payara/jakartaee-10-tck-runners/blob/EE11/tck-download/jakarta-cdi-tck/pom.xml>)
 - The issue we would have, if not, is how to ensure the SHA is the same across the Maven Central versions and Jakarta Downloads
 - We will put this on hold until we get some feedback
- Issue [#74](#) - TCK challenge automatic acceptance - [Andrew Pielage]
 - Check in on progress of pull requests
- Issue [#82](#) - Consistent approach for TCK challenge exclusions [Andrew Pielage]
 - Check in on status of pull request: <https://github.com/jakartaee/jakarta.ee/pull/2430>
- Issue [#85](#) - Document Jenkins Maven Publication Jobs [Emily Jiang]
 - *Carry over from previous call: Needs to be brought up in the Platform call and/or poke projects leads via email. Emily to send an email to the spec leads mailing list.*
 - Check in on progress
 - Email sent today

- New Jakarta EE release process with the new Eclipse Foundation Staging repo [Andrew Pielage]
 - Any further action required?
 - From our side, seems to be done
- Issue [#86](#) - Simplify Compatibility Certification Requests [Ivar Grimstad]
 - *Carry over from previous call*
 - *When the CCR for GlassFish 8 comes in Arjan/Ondrej will come with proposals - if no proposals we shall close the issue*
 - Check in on CCR/proposals - not yet
- Issue [#83](#) Follow-up - Clean Up And Clarify How To List TCK Service Releases On Specification Pages [Andrew Pielage]
 - *Carry over from previous call: We should draft an example for us to discuss and iterate on, taking into account the easiest way for us to then update all of the changelog files*
 - <https://github.com/jakartaee/specifications/pull/902>
 - Discuss any further amendments and actions
 - Who is going to maintain the changelog?
 - Should the mentor who is promoting the job ensure that a changelog has been updated
 - Should we add it to the service release checklist?
 - https://github.com/jakartaee/specifications/blob/master/.github/PULL_REQUEST_TEMPLATE/service_release_pr_template.md
 - If we do, we will need a [changelog.md](#) template file (jakarta wombat)
 - Andrew to send an email to the spec committee mailing list once the template has been updated
- Sharing Maven Namespaces [Andrew Pielage]
 - *From previous call: There is some support for defining rules.*
 - Check in on progress and discuss
 - The project is asking for some kind of statement from the spec committee. It would be great if we can formulate something to communicate to them.

- Do we have an opinion?
- Follow the convention until we decide on some rules?
- They are requesting to use `jakarta.ai` as the group ID
- The convention is just jakarta.[shortName]
- At least one voice is suggesting [jakarta.ai](#) is too broad
- Concurrency & CDI **were** using jakarta.enterprise, but have moved away from this
- Is our recommendation that “the Maven groupId should not overclaim the scope of the project”?
 - It might be nice to have these “sub-groups”
 - For example, we have jakarta.xml for XML-WS and XML-Binding, and jakarta.json for JSON-P and JSON-B
- Should we allow a group to be made before there are any other specifications?
 - Does it only make sense to make these groups once two or more specifications exist?
- If they were to follow as-is (current convention): jakarta.agentic-ai
- Would we allow [jakarta.ai.agentic](#)?
- Simple now - map it all out later?
- Recommendation: “*The Specification Committee recommends following the existing convention for now of jakarta.\${shortName}. Specifications should not overclaim the scope of their project. Namespace groups can be discussed once there are multiple specifications.*”
 - For this particular case, the recommendation is that the group ID should be `jakarta.agentic-ai` .
- Promoting TCKs is a collective effort
 - *Carry over from previous call*
 - *Probably worth doing a refresher course when there are more members present*
 - *Can we also document them in our GitHub repo?*
<https://github.com/jakartaee/specification-committee>

- Ivar to take this on - timebox demonstration when we have more time and enough members present
- Ivar to also look for any existing documentation
 - We have a tools repository where the scripts exist:
<https://github.com/jakartaee/specification-tools/tree/master/promotion>
- Various TCKs appear to be failing for EE 11 when ran on Java 25 - some service release fixes incoming
- Review other [open issues](#):
 - Determine which issues to label as “enhancement” and add to our [board](#)
 - Close issues which are no longer relevant or have been dealt with