

## Jakarta EE Spec Committee - June 25th, 2025

*Attendees (present in bold):*

Kenji Kazumura - Fujitsu

**Emily Jiang** - IBM - **Tom Watson**

**Ed Bratt** - Oracle - Dmitry Kornilov

**Andrew Pielage** (chair) - Payara - Petr Aubrecht

David Blevins - Tomitribe - Jean-Louis Monteiro, Cesar Hernandez

**Ivar Grimstad** - PMC Representative

**Marcelo Ancelmo** - Participant Member - Abraham Marin-Perez

**Werner Keil** - Committer Member

Jun Qian - Primeton Information Technologies - Enterprise Member

**Zhai Luchao** - Shandong Cvicse Middleware Co. - Enterprise Member

Guest - Jakarta EE 11 co-release coordinators: Ed Burns, **Jared Anderson**

Eclipse Foundation: **Tanja Obradovic**

Past business / action items:

- Approval is requested for the minutes from the June 11th, 2025 meeting as drafted - nobody has yet reviewed. Given what happened with the namespace vote members are encouraged to review, and we will approve on the next call.

### Agenda:

- Catch up on the [Jakarta EE 11 Release Plan](#) [Ed Burns]
  - EE 11 - [Prioritized backlog](#) · [Jakarta EE11 TCK Release \(github.com\)](#) and [Jakarta EE11 TCK Release board](#)
  - Release complete
  - OSSRH migration
    - Projects to be forced to migrate

- Platform held a retrospective yesterday
- TCK also holding a retrospective
- Official launch is tomorrow (6am ET)
- Catch up on the [Jakarta EE 12 Release Plan](#) [Jared Anderson]
  - Project board: <https://github.com/orgs/jakartaee/projects/17/views/1>
  - No update - focus is on the EE11 retrospectives
- Ongoing tracking [spreadsheet](#) of specifications progressing through the [JESP](#) specification version [lifecycle](#)
  - Logging stalled - still pending creation review
  - HTTP also still pending creation review
- Jakarta EE Namespace - Discuss whether the language we have around Jakarta package namespace requirements needs tightening up. [Email](#).
  - Discuss ballot and its withdrawal
    - Should it have been started?
      - JESP changes were not listed in the agenda
        - Only a discussion around clarifying the existing language
        - Best practice is to have these listed on the agenda, and then start the vote on the subsequent call after all discussion points have been addressed
      - The proper process was therefore not followed
      - The ballot success criteria on the email was also not correct (not mentioning the strategic member supermajority requirement)
      - The process also required a supermajority of the steering committee (not documented on the JESP, only on the EFSP)
      - There were also comments on the call about members not being ready to vote
      - What *can* be agreed on a call?
    - Should it have been allowed to finish?

- The ballot would have failed if no votes were recast - it did not reach a super majority of strategic members (Oracle and IBM voted against)
      - Is it worth also mentioning this on the mailing list?
        - It is recorded here
    - The ballot was withdrawn as it wasn't a valid ballot (didn't follow the correct process)
  - Discuss next actions (if any)
    - Will revisit next meeting (people had to leave)
    - Potentially agree and record a statement (don't necessarily update the JESP).
- Request for chair cover next call: July 9th
  - Tom Watson will chair
  - Andrew will publish the meeting minutes and send out the initial agenda
- Issue [#55](#) - TCK Archive Format [Ed Bratt]
  - Check in on Ed Bratt's PR: *pending*
- Issue [#83](#) - Clean up and clarify how to list TCK service releases on spec pages [Andrew Pielage]
  - Check on progress of pull requests
- Issue [#74](#) - TCK challenge automatic acceptance - [Ed Bratt]
  - Check on progress of specifications
- Issue [#58](#) - TCK challenge templates [Andrew Pielage]
  - Check on progress of pull requests
  - PRs not being merged - emails will be sent to the individual project mailing list and to the leads mailing list (<https://accounts.eclipse.org/mailling-list/jakartaee-spec-project-leads>)
- Issue [#82](#) - Consistent approach for TCK challenge exclusions [Ed Bratt]
  - Carry over from February 19th: TCK Process should be updated with something akin to Scott Starks suggestion.
- Review other [open issues](#):

- Determine which issues to label as “enhancement” and add to our [board](#)
- Close issues which are no longer relevant or have been dealt with