Jakarta EE Spec Committee - June 15th, 2022

Attendees (present in bold):

Kenji Kazumura - Fujitsu
Tom Watson - IBM - Emily Jiang
Ed Bratt - Oracle - Dmitry Kornilov
Andrew Pielage - Payara - Petr Aubrecht
David Blevins - Tomitribe - Jean-Louis Monteiro, Cesar Hernandez
Ivar Grimstad - PMC Representative
Marcelo Ancelmo - Participant Member - Martijn Verburg
Werner Keil - Committer Member
Jun Qian - Primeton - Enterprise Member
Zhai Luchao - Shandong Cvicse Middleware Co. - Enterprise Member

Eclipse Foundation: Tanja Obradovic, Paul Buck (chair)

Guests: Scott Stark, Scott Marlow

Past business / action items:

- Approval is requested for the meeting minutes from the June 1st, 2022 meeting as drafted - Approved.

Agenda:

- Ongoing tracking spreadsheet of individual specs progressing through the JESP
  - Jakarta EE 10 Release Review - Specifications
    - PRs https://github.com/jakartaeae/specifications/pulls
    - Release Plan
      https://eclipse-ee4i.github.io/jakartaeae-platform/jakartaeae10/JakartaEE10ReleasePlan
    - Wiki https://github.com/eclipse-ee4i/jakartaeae-platform/wiki/JakartaEE10

- The Jakarta Data project proposal is out for Community Review
  https://www.eclipse.org/lists/jakarta.ee-spec.committee/msg03197.html
  - Now is the time that the Specification Committee works with the proposal team to get the proposal into a state where they are ready to lock it down and start an approval ballot
  - Emily has agreed to be the Spec Comm mentor for Jakarta Data
  Feedback from Tomitribe was passed on to the project team, ee-spec discussion list
“Parking lot” items from previous meetings, deferred to get to after EE 10 release is done

- Rebasing the JESP on the EFSP 1.3
  - From January 12th - The EFSP has been updated to EFSP 1.3, the Specification Committee needs to review and consider future updates to the JESP 1.3 [also reference November 3rd, 2021 minutes]
  - Ivar to open a PR for the update
  - Specification Committee members are encouraged to review the EFSP 1.3 and provide input on the PR

- How to handle optional features in Specifications
  - From July 14th, 2021 - For background see proposal from Dan, Kevin & Scott
  - From August 25th, 2021 - See ballot results for the “RESOLUTION: The Jakarta EE Specification Committee resolves that no new optional features may be added in Jakarta EE 10 and beyond in component, Platform, or Profile specifications.”

- “TCK Archive Format”, see [jakarta.ee-spec.committee] [External] : Re: TCK archive format? (Consider allowing JAR or ZIP)
  - For background see -

- Annual Reviews - Specification Projects must engage in at least one Progress or Release Review per year while in active development
  [https://www.eclipse.org/lists/jakarta.ee-spec.committee/msg03192.html](https://www.eclipse.org/lists/jakarta.ee-spec.committee/msg03192.html)

- Ed proposed that a focus area is simplification and automation (where possible), re-engage with initiative that was getting underway in the early days of EE 10

Proposal to use a Github issues (Spec Committee repo) to document and track these items - [https://github.com/jakartaee/specification-committee/issues](https://github.com/jakartaee/specification-committee/issues)
Spec Committee requested open any issues that are areas to improve post EE 10, Paul to open issues for the topics referenced above starting with “optional features”

- “Operation, proces issues to discuss” email from Scott Stark
  [https://www.eclipse.org/lists/jakarta.ee-spec.committee/msg03201.html](https://www.eclipse.org/lists/jakarta.ee-spec.committee/msg03201.html)
Not discussed, to be included as issues as the other topics above.