

Jakarta EE Spec Committee - June 11th, 2025

Attendees (present in bold):

Kenji Kazumura - Fujitsu

Emily Jiang - IBM - Tom Watson

Ed Bratt - Oracle - Dmitry Kornilov

Andrew Pielage (chair) - Payara - Petr Aubrecht

David Blevins - Tomitribe - Jean-Louis Monteiro, Cesar Hernandez

Ivar Grimstad - PMC Representative

Marcelo Ancelmo - Participant Member - **Abraham Marin-Perez**

Werner Keil - Committer Member

Jun Qian - Primeton Information Technologies - Enterprise Member

Zhai Luchao - Shandong Cvicse Middleware Co. - Enterprise Member

Guest - Jakarta EE 11 co-release coordinators: **Ed Burns, Jared Anderson**

Eclipse Foundation: **Tanja Obradovic**

Past business / action items:

- Approval is requested for the minutes from the May 28th, 2025 meeting as drafted - **Approved**

Agenda:

- Catch up on the [Jakarta EE 11 Release Plan](#) [Ed Burns]
 - EE 11 - [Prioritized backlog](#) · [Jakarta EE11 TCK Release \(github.com\)](#) and [Jakarta EE11 TCK Release board](#)
 - Ballot is now started
 - Earliest closure would be Monday
 - Retrospective for TCK project running on June 18th
 - Retrospective for Platform project running on June 24th

- Do we want to have a Specification Committee retrospective?
 - We could just take actions from the TCK and Platform retrospectives?
 - We did do an “informal” one for EE10
- Catch up on the [Jakarta EE 12 Release Plan](#) [Jared Anderson]
 - Project board: <https://github.com/orgs/jakartaee/projects/17/views/1>
 - An update was provided in the newsletter: <https://newsroom.eclipse.org/eclipse-newsletter/2025/may/jakarta-ee-12-ready-hit-ground-running>
 - Tanja checked - Marketing have raised awareness of this article!
 - OSSRH migration deadline is this month
- Ongoing tracking [spreadsheet](#) of specifications progressing through the [JESP](#) specification version [lifecycle](#)
- Jakarta EE Namespace - Discuss whether the language we have around Jakarta package namespace requirements needs tightening up. [Email](#).
 - Who decides the namespace of a spec?
 - Spec Committee can apply rules e.g. “must be jakarta.*”
 - Is org.eclipse.microprofile allowed in Jakarta EE?
 - Under the current JESP - arguable, depends how you read it
 - Was the intention in the JESP text that it was meant to be a global rule? “everything must use jakarta.*”
 - This is ambiguous, differing opinions from those who were present when this was decided
 - Should we update the language in the JESP to make this clear?
 - Consensus is yes we should clarify it, as it helps inform MicroProfile what their options are
 - Proposed Resolution: Add to the JESP: “All specifications must use the jakarta.* namespace”
 - Ivar Grimstad first
 - Andrew Pielage seconded
 - Will be put to the mailing list as a ballot

- Andrew Pielage to start it
- Issue [#55](#) - TCK Archive Format [Ed Bratt]
 - Ran out of time, not discussed...
 - Check in on Ed Bratt's PR: *pending*
- Issue [#83](#) - Clean up and clarify how to list TCK service releases on spec pages [Andrew Pielage]
 - *Ran out of time, not discussed...*
 - Check on progress of pull requests
- Issue [#74](#) - TCK challenge automatic acceptance - [Ed Bratt]
 - *Ran out of time, not discussed...*
 - Check on progress of specifications
- Issue [#58](#) - TCK challenge templates [Andrew Pielage]
 - *Ran out of time, not discussed...*
 - Check on progress of pull requests
- Issue [#82](#) - Consistent approach for TCK challenge exclusions [Ed Bratt]
 - Ran out of time, not discussed...
 - Carry over from February 19th: TCK Process should be updated with something akin to Scott Starks suggestion.
- Review other [open issues](#):
 - *Ran out of time, not discussed...*
 - Determine which issues to label as “enhancement” and add to our [board](#)
 - Close issues which are no longer relevant or have been dealt with