

Jakarta EE Spec Committee - February 4th, 2026

Attendees (present in bold):

Kenji Kazumura - Fujitsu - Takahiro Nagao

Emily Jiang - IBM - **Tom Watson**

Ed Bratt - Oracle - Dmitry Kornilov

Andrew Pielage (chair) - Payara

David Blevins - Tomitribe - Jean-Louis Monteiro, **Cesar Hernandez**

Ivar Grimstad - PMC Representative

Arjan Tijms - Omnifish OU - Elected Participant Member Representative

Werner Keil - Committer Member

Jun Qian - Primeton Information Technologies - Enterprise Member

Zhai Luchao - Shandong Cvicse Middleware Co. - Enterprise Member

Guest - Jakarta EE 12 co-release coordinators: **Jared Anderson, James Perkins**

Eclipse Foundation: **Tanja Obradovic**

Past business / action items:

- Approval is requested for the minutes from the January 7th, 2026 meeting as drafted - **Approved**

Agenda:

- [Jakarta EE 12 Update](#) [Jared Anderson]
 - [Dashboard](#)
 - Delays to the final EE 12 release are in discussion, ideally keeping it within the year
 - Platform release still drives the component specifications (top-down)

- Adoption of implementation of individual specifications are requested by other frameworks and techs, such as Quarkus, Helidion, Spring, etc. without them being part of a platform release. This in itself has value even if customers aren't specifically asking for a platform release right now
 - There is a new staging repository for artifacts: <https://repo3.eclipse.org/#browse/browse:ee4j-staging>
 - Progress being made with the OSSRH issues
 - One issue remaining
- Ongoing tracking [spreadsheet](#) of specifications progressing through the [JESP](#) specification version [lifecycle](#)
 - *Carry over from previous calls:*
 - *Progress reviews for Jakarta Config and RPC overdue.*
 - *Jakarta Config approaching two years overdue*
 - A Jakarta Futures meeting will happen tomorrow and will be discussing MicroProfile Config and Jakarta Config
 - *Jakarta RPC approaching three years overdue*
 - Oracle to be poked before contacting the EMO
- Issue [#55](#) - TCK Archive Format [Ed Bratt]
 - Check in on Ed Bratt's PR: *pending*
- Issue [#74](#) - TCK challenge automatic acceptance - [Ed Bratt]
 - Check on progress of specifications - set as a goal for M2
- Issue [#58](#) - TCK challenge templates [Andrew Pielage]
 - Check on progress of pull requests - set as a goal for M2
- Issue [#82](#) - Consistent approach for TCK challenge exclusions [Ed Bratt]
 - *Carry over from February 19th: TCK Process should be updated with something akin to Scott Starks suggestion.*
- Issue [#85](#) - Document Jenkins Maven Publication Jobs [Emily Jiang]
 - Specifications having wiki pages (or equivalent documentation) goal for M2

- *Carry over from previous call: Needs to be brought up in the Platform call and/or poke projects leads via email*
- Issue [#86](#) - Simplify Compatibility Certification Requests [Ivar Grimstad]
 - *Carry over from previous call*
 - *Example to be created*
 - *Ivar to reach out to understand the complaints*
- Issue [#83](#) Follow-up - Clean Up And Clarify How To List TCK Service Releases On Specification Pages
 - *Carry over from previous call: An issue to be created for further discussion [Ivar]*
 - *Discussions ongoing on how to track changes between TCK service release versions*
 - *There's already a changelog file they can update, it's just not mentioned on the PR template file*
 - https://github.com/jakartaee/specifications/blob/master/service_release_pr_template.md vs. https://github.com/jakartaee/specifications/blob/master/.github/PULL_REQUEST_TEMPLATE/service_release_pr_template.md
 - *It needs to be clear which changes are for the API service releases vs. the TCK service releases*
 - Changelogs are already versioned by the major/minor specification version e.g. <https://github.com/jakartaee/specifications/blob/master/jsonp/2.1/changelog.md>
 - We could have headings for API and TCK which the releases would be listed under (most recent release at the top).
- Review other [open issues](#):
 - Determine which issues to label as “enhancement” and add to our [board](#)
 - Close issues which are no longer relevant or have been dealt with
- Committee members

- Please confirm committee members participants and for the strategics alternates as well, as documented on <https://jakarta.ee/committees/specification/>
 - Please make Pull Requests to <https://github.com/jakartaee/jakarta.ee/>
- Suggestion: Add **committee members photos** on the above page; please confirm you are all in agreement with the suggestion
 - Individuals can opt out
 - Use the pictures already added to Eclipse accounts
 - Likely use a more compact format than that used on project “Who’s Involved” page
- [Committee meeting requirements cheat sheet](#) added to bottom of agenda doc and circulated in the mailing list.