

## **Jakarta EE Spec Committee - April 1st, 2026**

*Attendees (present in bold):*

**Kenji Kazumura** - Fujitsu - Takahiro Nagao

**Emily Jiang** - IBM - **Tom Watson**

**Dmitry Kornilov** - Oracle

**Andrew Pielage** (chair) - Payara

David Blevins - Tomitribe - Jean-Louis Monteiro, Cesar Hernandez

Ivar Grimstad - PMC Representative

Arjan Tijms - Omnifish OU - Elected Participant Member Representative

**Werner Keil** - Committer Member

Jun Qian - Primeton Information Technologies - Enterprise Member

**Zhai Luchao** - Shandong Cvicse Middleware Co. - Enterprise Member

Guest - Jakarta EE 12 co-release coordinators: **Jared Anderson, James Perkins**

Eclipse Foundation: Tanja Obradovic

Past business / action items:

- Approval is requested for the minutes from the March 18th, 2026 meeting as drafted - **approved**

### **Agenda:**

- [Jakarta EE 12 Update](#) [Jared Anderson]
  - [Dashboard](#)
  - June Core Profile deadline seems unrealistic due to participation issues
    - Core Profile by end of year still desired
    - Web Profile and Platform may slip into 2027
  - M3 completed

- Movement happening across a number of specifications
- Question from the Platform for the Spec Committee: What is the appropriate and consistent way of specifications to communicate updates to APIs, TCKs, etc. to vendors?
  - TCKs are downloaded from [download.eclipse.org](https://download.eclipse.org) - how would they know that a new service release version is released?
    - There is no obligation for a vendor to use the very latest service release - any and all are valid
    - The specification page also has a link to the TCK downloads page generally, not to a specific version.
  - The specification committee doesn't feel that any new communication channels are necessary
- Ongoing tracking [spreadsheet](#) of specifications progressing through the [JESP](#) specification version [lifecycle](#)
  - Carry over from previous calls:
    - Progress reviews for Jakarta Config and RPC overdue.
      - Jakarta Config approaching two years overdue
        - Discuss any movement from the Jakarta Futures meeting
        - Check for updates on actions from the talk with MicroProfile Config
          - No updates
        - Should we move to start following a [Termination Review](#)?
          - Does this effect EE 12?
            - No
          - How do we run these?
            - Example:
   
<https://projects.eclipse.org/projects/technology.skills/reviews/termination-review>



- Suggestion to change the TCK Process to simply enforce lazy consensus
- Issue [#58](#) - TCK challenge templates [Andrew Pielage]
  - Check in on progress on [final pull request for Config](#) - merged
    - We're potentially looking at terminating this project
- Issue [#82](#) - Consistent approach for TCK challenge exclusions
  - Discuss adding some text to the TCK Process along the lines of “excluded tests should be disabled” to keep it general (since the exclude list file doesn't make sense for all test frameworks).
  - Actually, the TCK Process already has text to this effect: *“Excludes MUST be included in the TCK project release in a format that is compatible with the testing framework in use so that as the excludes are updated, the affected tests are automatically removed from the test suite.”*
  - Check in on status of pull request
  - Not discussed
- Issue [#85](#) - Document Jenkins Maven Publication Jobs [Emily Jiang]
  - Carry over from previous call: Needs to be brought up in the Platform call and/or poke projects leads via email. Emily to send an email to the spec leads mailing list.
  - Check in on progress
  - Not discussed
- New Jakarta EE release process with the new Eclipse Foundation Staging repo [Andrew Pielage]
  - Any further action required?
- Issue [#86](#) - Simplify Compatibility Certification Requests [Ivar Grimstad]
  - Carry over from previous call
    - When the CCR for GlassFish 8 comes in Arjan/Ondrej will come with proposals - if no proposals we shall close the issue
  - Check in on progress
  - Not discussed

- Issue [#83](#) Follow-up - Clean Up And Clarify How To List TCK Service Releases On Specification Pages
  - Carry over from previous call: We should draft an example for us to discuss and iterate on, taking into account the easiest way for us to then update all of the changelog files (volunteer welcome)
  - Discuss any further amendments and actions
  - Not discussed
- Sharing Maven Namespaces
  - Questions raised about multiple specifications sharing Maven group IDs and namespaces: <https://gitlab.eclipse.org/eclipsefdn/helpdesk/-/issues/7254>
  - Carry over from previous call: Andrew to send an email to the spec committee mailing list to gather opinions
  - Check in on progress and discuss
  - CDI and Concurrency are moving their namespaces away from `jakarta.enterprise`
  - There is some support for potentially defining some rules
  - Andrew to send email to mailing list
- Reminder: Promoting TCKs is a collective effort
  - See [mail](#) from Scott
  - Ivar usually does this, but it is in everyone's interest that other committee members help out as well since Ivar is not necessary always available and some of the promotions may be time critical
  - Here is the [CI Job for promoting TCKs](#)
  - Is a “refresher course” for how it is done needed?
    - The mentor for each specification should be doing this
      - Andrew will help to publish the Platform TCK in this instance
- Review other [open issues](#):
  - Determine which issues to label as “enhancement” and add to our [board](#)
  - Close issues which are no longer relevant or have been dealt with

- Not reviewed
- Ed Bratt unfortunately is no longer going to take part as a member of the Jakarta Specification Committee